

The Review of the Loch Lomond byelaws (2) – the inadequate and untruthful background analysis

Description

[NB Part of this post has been updated following the claim at the LLTNPA board meeting that the FOI response for 2022 only gave part year registrations and numbers increased considerably after that date]

The single greatest flaw in the the Loch Lomond bye law consultation process, which I touched on in my last post ([see here](#)), concerns the lack of any background analysis and the selective presentation of information by Loch Lomond and Trossachs National Park Authority (LLTNPA) staff to justify their proposals. These are unlikely to address the issues the LLTNPA claims need to be addressed and have major implications for the rights of people who use the loch (which I will consider in a third post).

A disastrous failure in planning

In the summer, about the time the byelaw consultation was launched, the LLTNPA abandoned its draft Outdoor Recreation Plan ([see here](#)) which it had consulted on in December 2019. At the time Mary Jack pointed out that none of the plans for improving infrastructure for water recreation on Loch Lomond had been delivered and the new plan was devoid of any concrete proposals ([see here](#)). I made similar points about the vacuous nature of the draft plan soon a month later([see here](#)):

“While the plan does acknowledge the need to improve infrastructure for watersports under the final theme of Coasts and Water another box ticked in Scotland’s Year of Coasts and Waters 2020 there is not a single concrete proposal. There is also no reference let alone analysis of why, in the last Outdoor Recreation Plan, the area where least progress was watersports infrastructure let alone the role that the LLTNPA played in cutting infrastructure in places like Milarrochy ([see here](#)).”

There was not a single reference to the Loch Lomond byelaws in that draft Outdoor Recreation Plan nor of any need for additional controls. Conversely, there is no mention in the LLTNPA’s Review Report to Scottish Ministers on the byelaws of what has happened to the Outdoor Recreation Plan or the history of failure to invest in infrastructure for watersports on the Loch. This is despite the Review Report clearly stating;

“While the byelaws are an important management tool, they are just one part of a combination of measures and approaches to managing behaviour and activities on the Loch.”

The Outdoor Recreation Plan was the place to set all of that out, without it there is no context to evaluate what is being proposed. Instead the LLTNPA claims in the Review Report they will do this in the new National Park Partnership Plan. We will see but this is a case of first introduce rule changes,

then plan for it!

An illustration of the failures of the current regime at the LLTNPA to plan, boat surveys had been conducted on Loch Lomond since 1989 with yearly surveys until 2013, with a gap in time until 2022 when the LLTNPA commissioned a new survey. The abandonment of the survey after 2013 is not a coincidence, it's when the LLTNPA switched their attention to campers. The new Boat Survey ([see here](#)) was completed AFTER the consultation process was completed, too late to provide consultees with the key facts.


The changing patterns of outdoor recreation on Loch Lomond – what is the truth?

This review is also timely due to significant changes in recent years in the way that the Loch is used for recreation across a range of activities. There has been a significant increase in non-powered activities such as open water swimming and stand-up paddle boarding. In addition, in the last two years there has been a marked upturn in Personal Water Craft (PWCs), commonly known as jet skis or jet bikes, using the Loch. The rapidly increasing multiple recreational uses of the Loch, while positive in terms of more people being able to access and enjoy the benefits of the water, has also increased safety risks, particularly in the most popular and accessible parts of the Loch.

The LLTNPA are right that there have been significant changes in the type of outdoor recreation taking place on the Loch over the last few years, the claim there has been a marked upturn in use of jet skis is bunkum. First, as the LLTNPA admits, the proportion of motorised vessels using the loch has dropped dramatically:

5.2 A shift in the type of boats used on Loch Lomond has been seen over time

In 2022 the National Park Authority commissioned a repeat of the Loch Lomond Recreational Boat Surveys that were undertaken annually between 1989 and 2013. The [subsequent report and analysis](#) was carried out by the Scottish Centre for Ecology and the Natural Environment (SCENE), University of Glasgow. The survey showed a significant shift in the percentage of powered and non-powered craft using the Loch.



In 2013, 75% of the boats recorded were powered craft but this reduced to 54% in the 2022 survey, the lowest percentage recorded. Since paddleboarding was only recorded in the 2022 survey and not before, this comparative data excludes paddleboarding. This survey was focused on boating, so open water swimming was not included.

Note that throughout the Review Report the LLTNPA quotes percentages, not actual numbers, which obfuscates the truth about what is going on. There has not just been a shift in the percentage of powered craft but also a significant reduction in numbers as shown by figures collected by the Loch Lomond Association and previously published on parkswatch. These show a steady drop in all types of boats except for "other" between 2003 and 2015:

Boat registrations on loch lomond continue to plunge

2015 FIGURES HIT A 10-YEAR LOW SINCE 2005 - WITH A LOSS OF 1,620 VESSELS

BOAT TYPE	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Fishing	754	802	776	778	798	718	789	713	630	583	604	592	545
PWC	686	769	780	782	796	715	772	821	681	575	590	634	637
Motor Boat	248	240	248	248	219	200	247	224	215	197	228	212	185
Motor Cruiser	875	923	911	872	874	784	884	761	809	707	744	769	753
Speed Boat	1,806	1,862	1,900	1,908	1,907	1,579	1,693	1,504	1,266	1,111	1,109	1,077	992
Tender	391	427	449	489	525	522	560	493	437	384	427	384	357
Trade Plates	20	25	24	21	28	2	0	9	18	20	11	11	24
Yacht	283	279	297	287	276	271	292	243	234	191	205	188	183
Other	340	322	329	306	262	328	442	385	370	369	419	370	418
TOTALS	5,403	5,649	5,714	5,691	5,685	5,119	5,679	5,153	4,660	4,137	4,337	4,237	4,094

PWC = Personal Water Craft, ie Jet Skis. Graphic credit LLA.


In the Review report, however, the LLTNPA claim that there was a 31% increase in jet skis between 2019 and 2022 and a 61% increase since 2012.

5.5 An increase in the number of PWCs being registered on Loch Lomond

As has been shown above, there has been a rise in popularity of non-powered activities – at the same time, the volume of motorised craft on the Loch has also changed.

The number of motorised craft registered for use on Loch Lomond has [remained fairly consistent across the 10-year period](#), however the number of personal water craft (PWCs), i.e. jet skis and jet bikes, being registered for use has seen a huge increase:

- Between 2019 and 2022 there was a 31% increase in registrations of PWCs
- Considering a longer time period (2012-2022), the trend remains. The number of PWCs registered for use on Loch Lomond in 2022 was 61% higher than in 2012



The LLA table above shows that 2012 was the LOWEST year for jet ski registrations ever recorded so by using that as the base year the LLTNPA have manipulated the figures to suggest any increase is far larger than it has been. An FOI response to the LLTNPA dated 10th June, however, actually shows that the claim in the Review Report to Scottish Ministers is very suspect ([see here](#)).

Boat Type	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Fishing Boat	583	604	592	545	584	621	639	581	502	632	427
PWC	575	590	634	637	685	707	739	707	578	987	555
Motorboat	197	228	212	185	227	234	226	212	170	235	158
Motorcruiser	707	744	769	753	741	822	804	774	598	751	518
Speedboat	1111	1109	1077	992	981	964	987	869	677	928	579
Tender	384	427	384	357	357	343	320	329	262	359	247
Trade Plates	20	11	11	24	14	10	7	4	5	2	0
Yacht	191	205	188	183	205	201	188	189	129	178	123
Rib					215	255	258	263	234	388	257
Other	369	419	370	418	214	194	192	158	129	197	147
Totals	4137	4337	4237	4094	4223	4351	4360	4086	3284	4657	3011

Far from there being a 31% increase in jet ski registrations between 2019 & 2022 on the recorded figures there was a drop of c20% and 2022 was the lowest number recorded since before 2003 and perhaps ever!. [Update, the LLTNPA is claiming at the meeting taking place this afternoon that this was only a partial year figure and the numbers were far greater than those released. They released another FOI on 30th August indicating that numbers of register boats had increased between June to August ([see here](#)) but not to the numbers of 2021 & unfortunately for some reason this FOI excluded jet skis!]. The 987 jet skis registered in 2021 still it appears it may have been quite exceptional. That LLTNPA senior management have excluded factual information and then made unsubstantiated claims is utterly extraordinary. .




Figure 6: The changes in the percentage of the mean boat type from 1989 to 2022.

Extract from Loch Lomond Recreational Boat Survey which has used a consistent methodology since 2013 to record the number of boats actually seen on the Loch. The straight lines between the years 2013 and 2022 mark the years the survey was discontinued by the LLTNPA. Paddle Boards are not shown because they are a recent invention.

The graphics and data in the Loch Lomond Survey report are far more reliable. They show that the proportion of jet skis seen on the Loch has varied significantly from year to year and provide a warning against assuming changes in boat registrations from year to year can be used to make claims about long term trends. The fact is the number of jet skis are still less than the number of speed boats or cruisers, although their numbers have dropped dramatically. It is also worth noting the red line which shows canoes are now the most common boat on the Loch (apart from paddle boards which were not included in the graph).

The Survey also undertook a separate analysis of the most popular areas of the loch that included swimmers and paddleboards. This rather puts the number of jet skis in perspective.




Figure 2: The different types of activity between 28/05/22 and 02/10/2022 excluding shore use. The boxplot shows the upper and lower quartiles with the solid line indicating the median.

SUP – Stand Up Paddleboard PWC – Personal Water Craft or Jetski

The LLTNPA’s claim in the Review Report that there has been a significant increase in the number of jet skis and that something needs to be done about this is completely wrong. If the report is not amended by the LLTNPA Board or rejected by Scottish Ministers any decision they make should be wide open to legal challenge.

The changes in numbers of jet ski registrations?

As the Review Report admits and everyone should know, the two years after the outbreak of the Covid pandemic were atypical because of the recreational bounce once lockdown was properly lifted. That accounts for the large number of registrations of all boat types in 2021 and the LLTNPA's own data shows that the number of registrations for all types of vessel then dropped in 2022. Crisis, what crisis?

in the Review Report the LLTNPA also say nothing about the number of jet skis registered by individuals as opposed to businesses. Cameron House, for example, now appears to have a large flotilla of jet skis and is one of several businesses around the Loch which now hire out a range watersports equipment. This has helped drive the huge increase in paddleboarding recorded in the recreation survey. But it also looks quite possible that, given the drop in real wages and their cost, that an increasing proportion of jet skis on the Loch are now owned by hire businesses not individuals.

That has important implications for what the LLTNPA should be doing to address irresponsible behaviour by jet skiers and other types of recreational user..

Is anti-social behaviour getting worse and if so why?

There is a long history of clashes between individuals using motorised and non-motorised vessels on

Appendix III

Noteworthy Interactions

Date	Boat type	Location	Interaction
06/08/2022	Motor Cruiser	Luss	Passed very close to canoes
13/08/2022	PWC	BCCP	PWV good byelaw compliance and consideration for others
13/08/2022	PWC	BCCP	PWC speeding in 11kph area
13/08/2022	PWC	Duck Bay	PWC at speed near shore, people in water
13/08/2022	PWC	Duck Bay	One PWC speeding near shore
13/08/2022	PWC	Duck Bay	2 x PWC at speed and making tight turns around kayak and SUP, I would deem this to be reckless navigation.
13/08/2022	PWC	Luss	PWC not quite on plane creating large wake causing SUP users to fall off.
28/05/2022	PWC	Luss	3 PWCs speeding less than 150m from shore, close passes to pedalos, SUPs and kayaks.
28/05/2022	PWC	Duck Bay	PWCs speeding from the shore
04/09/2022	PWC	Milarrochy	PWCs travelling at speed in 11kph area
29/05/2022	PWC & Speed Boat	Duck Bay	1 Speedboat and 3 PWC at speed into shore, 2 children left the water as a result
28/05/2022	RIB	Milarrochy	Launched from Milarrochy, not registered
17/07/2022	Speed Boat	Luss	boat couldn't access due to leisure swimmers inc kids
13/08/2022	Speed Boat	Duck Bay	Speeding near shore
21/08/2022	Speed Boat	Rowardennan	Speeding within 11kph area

The

problem, however, needs to be seen in perspective. LLTNPA cites two pieces of evidence in the Review Report to try and demonstrate an increase in antisocial behaviour.

The first is that it claims the number of contraventions against the byelaws have increased 185% in ten years, with the sharpest increase being recently:

5.6 Changes in byelaw contraventions over time

When comparing longer term data, the number of alleged contraventions of the Loch Lomond Byelaws has significantly increased:

- There was a 185% increase in total alleged contraventions between 2012 and 2022
- During this time, the highest number of alleged contraventions (53%) were for speeding in lower speed limit zones

In recent years, the increase in overall contraventions has risen more sharply:

- Between 2019 and 2022, there was 76% increase in total alleged contraventions
- In this period, the highest number of alleged contraventions (46%) continued to be for speeding in lower speed limit zones

Again, these claims as presented as percentages, not actual figures. In another FOI response in July 2021 ([see here](#)), in which it was asked to provide data on byelaw violations/contraventions, the LLTNPA responded as follows:

Records of violations are retained for three years, then deleted in accordance with our record retention schedule. We therefore have to advise under section 17(1)(b) of the Act that violation data for the years 2002 to 2011 is not held.

That appears untrue, the LLTNPA must hold some data to be able to claim contraventions have increased 185%! They still hold data for the last three years, but refused to release it:

Violation data held for the last three years has been withheld from release under S34(1)(b) of the Act as this information has been held for the purposes of an investigation, conducted by the Park Authority, which may lead to the Authority deciding to make a report to the Procurator Fiscal (PF) to decide whether criminal proceedings should be instituted.

As an excuse for withholding information, this is utter nonsense. There have been hardly any referrals of anyone to the Procurator Fiscal for breach of byelaws. Luckily, someone who submitted a number of FOI managed to extract the following for the exceptional year of 2021 ([see here](#)):

	2021	PWC	Other powered vessel	#Unconfirmed
Speeding in 11kph Zone	174	117	42	15
Display of Annual Mark	134	31	99	4
Failure to register	45	3	7	35
Display of Registration Number	33	12	17	4
Carrying observer whilst towing recreationally	7	5	0	2
Failure to carry sufficient or appropriate Personal Flotation Devices	2	0		0
Failure to wear a Personal Flotation Device on a Personal Water Craft	4	4	0	0
Reckless navigation	1	1	0	0
Failure to conform to direction by a ranger	3	3	0	0
Navigating without due care and attention	1	0	1	0
Failure to declare a transfer of ownership	1	1	0	0
Young person in charge of vessel over 5hp	2	2	0	0
	407	179	168	60

PWC are Personal Water Craft and 'unconfirmed' are vessels that were not on the registration data base.

There are a couple of interesting conclusions that can be drawn from this data:

- First, the largest number of contraventions and over 50% of the total were linked to failures to observe the rules around registration (134 + 45 + 33 = 212) not antisocial behaviour. The LLTNPA has failed to explain this clearly.
- Second, by far the largest number of examples of antisocial behaviour concerned speeding and jet skis accounted for almost two thirds of this.

The second piece of evidence cited by the LLTNPA, supports the claim that jet skis are responsible for a high proportion of current complaints, but fails to show that problems are getting worse:

5.7 Insight gathered from complaints received

Members of the public are able to report complaints about the conduct of others on or around the Loch via direct contact with our Ranger Service and via our public mailboxes.

- 277 complaints made by members of the public to the Ranger Service base at Duncan Mills Memorial Slipway between April 2016 - October 2022 were linked to irresponsible vessel use on the Loch
- Many of these reports concentrated around busy locations which have good vehicular access and facilities, such as at Luss (29%), Milarrochy (14%) Balloch (7%), and Duck Bay (5%)
- 51% of these 277 complaints related to PWC behaviour. This is seen most acutely at Luss where 58% of complaints related to irresponsible behaviours on PWCs

In the next para in the review report refers to trends in complaints but no data is provided to explain what these are. Para 5.7 certainly provides no insights into trends, rather it shows for the last six years on average about 45 complaints have been submitted to the Ranger Service at Duncan Mills slipway, about 23 of which on average are about jet skis.

The point here is that the LLTNPA has little or no data to substantiate that anti social behaviour by jet skiers is an increasing problem. That does not mean that there aren't problems, only that the LLTNPA has failed to consider them in context and perspective.

So what are the problems and the solutions

One explanation for why some people think problems are getting worse is not that anti-social behaviour by jet skiers is increasing but rather more people are being affected because of the increase in numbers of swimmers and paddleboarders, the recreational groups most likely to be affected by power boats (e.g by their wash).

This is not a reason to demonise the power boating community and Loch Lomond should be large enough for both. One cause of the problem is that there are a limited number of launching points and places where if you are on a jetski you can stop off for lunch (it is easier for a cruiser which doesn't need to come ashore and can just drop anchor). In other words, people with different interests are all being concentrated in the same places. Those problems came to a head in 2021 due to the explosion in numbers of people and vessels post-Covid.

It is important, however, not to exaggerate the problem which was looked at by the 2022 Boat Survey which recorded the number of people/boats in the most popular areas :

*Overall, the highest mean activity was 104.7 activities conducted per km² [that is just over 1 per 100m square] whilst the lowest was 0 activities per km², the mean of all the mean activities across all sites was 5.9 activities per km² (sd=5.6). Luss had significantly more activity than any other sites with a mean of 17.7 activities per km²*³

Unfortunately, instead of trying to engage with jet skiers to work out solutions about how they could co-exist with other activities, the LLTNPA's response was to try and ban motorised vessels from the most popular areas on Loch without any consideration the impact this would have on them.

The LLTNPA have been forced to back down for a time at least by the threat of legal action under the right of navigation. As a consequence, the LLTNPA has come up with a much better solution, to reduce the speed limit in the most popular areas to 6 kph (while strangely doing nothing to reduce the maximum speed limit outside the 11kph zones which is 90 kph).

The challenge now is that while many people using motorised vessels, including jet skiers, will respect and otherwise pay consideration to other users of the Loch a minority won't. Some of this may be out of ignorance, which is why the LLTNPA retaining control over businesses that hire out jet skis to ensure that those doing so are properly briefed and supervised is so important. But there are also deliberate mischief makers and people who pay little regard to others and to control their behaviour an effective ranger presence essential.

To provide such a presence rangers need to be dispersed around the Loch and on the ground, not based in an office at Balloch. This is far too far away to respond to issues at Luss, for example, the area where the greatest single number of problems occur. (This is another reason why the LLTNPA Board should never have agreed to put the Luss Visitor Centre on the market ([see here](#))). Second the LLTNPA's Rangers need the equipment to do the job. Incredibly, the LLTNPA don't possess a single craft capable of catching power boat miscreants. Their lame excuse for this in the Review Report is that 'The practicality of using PWC for patrolling purposes has also been considered but deemed challenging from staff welfare and enforcement perspectives'.

Had the LLTNPA concentrated on speed limits and having an effective ranger presence on the ground, there would have been little objection by the motorised boating community. It appears, however, the LLTNPA has another agenda which involves trying to get the Scottish Government to legislate to remove the historic right of navigation and to raise new income through a User Registration scheme which has serious implications for their rights I will consider that in my third post.

Category

1. Loch Lomond and Trossachs

Tags

1. Governance
2. LLTNPA
3. outdoor recreation
4. visitor management

5. watersports

Date Created

January 30, 2023

Author

nickkempe

default watermark