

The hidden horrors of hydro

Description



Loch Treig – and the aquatic desert its created (see below) – photo credit Greer collection

Hydroelectric power generation features prominently in the firmament of the renewable energy icons of the 'green movement' and indeed among its most avid supporters, there is almost a zealous fervency about it, bordering on religiosity about its promulgation, indeed one tantamount to a holy dictum of hydro.

There is no doubt, in a political environment where there is a near obsession with Carbon Dioxide emissions, that hydro power generation has much to offer in its mere use of gravity and rainfall to produce electricity at peak demand times, though its capacity to provide baseload falls well short of other, especially fossil fuel, sources. An easy check can be realised at www.gridwatch.co.uk

However it is not the purpose of this piece to debate the relative capacity of different fuel sources to

produce, at the national level, dependable, predictable electricity in strategically viable amounts, but merely to question, especially among environmental activists and politicians, the presumption that hydro-electric power is uniquely benign and comes with little or no environmental downside or negative consequences.

In saying that, the 'horrors' of hydro are often far from being hidden, especially if you have been a frequent traveller on the Perth to Inverness section of the A9 or adjacent railway, as they traverse the section up Glen Garry from Blair Atholl to Drumochter Pass and you've contemplated the trickling skeleton of the River Garry that once flowed constantly from Loch Garry, with its associated salmon-producing headwaters.



The River Garry is no longer fed from Loch Garry but from a small feeder burn lower right. The Cairn National Park boundary runs along the right hand side of the river heading upstream and then turns Loch Garry.

Hardly an enhancement of visual amenity is it? Beyond that initial impression, we can only

contemplate the scale of the loss of many miles of natal and nursery areas in terms of salmon production incurred after the early hydro impoundments in the late 1930s and subsequent developments in the wider catchment in the 1950s. That loss is not merely a financial one, inherent in losing the massive spring salmon (with their high catch value), that came from natal areas in the upper catchment, but is also one of the biodiversity loss of unique local sub populations and systemic biological productivity from the 'living fertilisers' that ascended the streams to feed predators and scavengers, including the aquatic invertebrates that in turn became the food of the next generation of juvenile salmon.



Damming of small burn under Beaully Denny powerline opposite Dalwhinnie

We cannot recover that loss, but after many years of lobbying by various organisations and individuals, there has been a glimmer of hope via action taken by SSE, to restore salmon access to parts of the River Garry, bereft of salmon for many decades. Metaphorically and literally, however, miles of work

remain to be done.



The dried up river Bruar below the intake that abstracts its waters into the Tummel Hydro scheme. At least the run of river hydros – Bruar powerhouse visible behind – are designed to keep some water flowing along the river. Concrete dams, though many of them were laudably fitted with fish passes, and dried up, erstwhile spawning areas, attest to the impact of hydro-electric power generation on many of our salmon rivers. There has been considerable research effort expended on assessing and dealing with some of these riverine impacts, but what about the ‘mother’ lochs these major hydro developments are based upon?



Loch Sloy from north ridge of Ben Vane in the Loch Lomond and Trossachs National Park with marked bare draw down zone characteristic of hydro lochs

Here, there is not so much a gap in our knowledge, as a chasm. Until recently we had to rely on the analogies of the classic before and after studies in the great Scandinavian mountain lakes, especially those of Dr N.A.Nilsson , Dr. Per Aass, Dr. Ulf Grimas, and a few home based studies, such as those of Dr.Hugh Elder and Dr. Niall Campbell, some half a century ago. These former indicated after a brief biological ‘boom’ there came a ‘bust’ of profound negative, and wide- ranging impacts on the physical and biological environment, including the development of the classic moonscape shoreline that creates the sense of looking at an aquatic ‘desert.’ This ‘aquatic desert’ look is of course shared by our own reservoirs, and the inference from the small amount of home-based research is, that despite a very different annual water fluctuation regime, these profound negative impacts most likely also applied and still apply here.

The absence of before and after studies of the impact of hydro-electric regulation of lochs in Scotland has several root causes, a main one being, that unlike the otherwise reasonably comparable Scandinavian ones, there was no equivalent tradition of inland commercial or subsistence fisheries that might be affected when a natural lake is converted to a hydro-reservoir. The extensive Scandinavian research literature reveals a litany of profoundly negative changes on the physical environment, more especially in the productive littoral (shore) zone, resulting in major deleterious changes in the biological environment, especially on aquatic vertebrates; thence the various fish species dependent

on them and consequently, of course, the commercial, subsistence and recreational fisheries concerned. Apart from the massive loss of productivity (well over 50% of littoral bottom-living invertebrates), there can be changes in the balance of fish species and indeed inter-watershed transfer of locally non-native fish species(with all the inherent potential problems that may arise).

We'll probably never know the full biological impacts of hydro-electric impoundments of Scotland's large glacial ribbon lakes(e.g. Rannoch, Ericht, Treig, Laggan) simply because we had no substantial data base prior to the impoundments. However recent research has revealed that they contain native fish populations (mainly Arctic Charr and Brown Trout) that exhibit some of the most remarkable vertebrate biodiversity anywhere in the British Isles.



Benthic

Pelagic

Two forms of Arctic Charr, Loch Rannoch – Photo Credit Greer Collection

It's probably just serendipity that they have survived the process of impoundment so far, but it's a process that's ongoing in a political environment favouring state-subsidised renewable power generation and that seems to be unaware, and indeed appears uncaring, about the ecological impacts.



Three forms of Arctic Charr Loch Treig – Photo Credit Greer collection

The freshwater science establishment in Scotland and the sectional interests of the production regime, have been aware of the works of Niall Campbell and Hugh Elder on some of the impacts of hydro-electric impoundments in Scottish lochs for over 50 years, but that has not elicited any general strategic and substantive response in terms of addressing either the primary biological issues or intra-loch management options, that might create or improve opportunities for sustainable harvest fisheries and protect native fish and invertebrate biodiversity. However, more recently, a degree dissertation produced in 2012 by a young colleague of mine, Elizabeth McMorrine, comparing the impacts of differing degrees of hydro impoundments on fish and invertebrates in 3 Highland lochs has apparently engendered some acute interest, indeed perhaps irritation and resentment, within the production regime proprietors and associated consultants.

Having been looking into the impacts of hydro-impoundments and possible options in ameliorative measures, after working in both Scottish and Scandinavian lake-reservoirs for over 40 years, I am not surprised by the results of my colleague's research and their close analogies with Scandinavian findings in a similar biogeographical milieu, especially in the marked and increasing paucity of littoral invertebrates associated with the increasing degree of water regulation regime.

default watermark



Water fluctuation along the littoral zone at Loch Garry, east Drumochter hills behind

It is difficult to over state the negative impacts of this regulation on the overall ecology of the loch concerned, but more especially in the shallower littoral water zone (less than 10 metres). It is here that repeated studies have indicated that the greatest production of fish and greatest diversity of fish species occur, but it is precisely this zone that is most radically altered, mainly by the increased level fluctuations removing the living and dead plant material that forms the basis of the food chain leading to fish. A general rule of thumb is that seasonal water amplitude fluctuations in excess of 5 metres essentially wipe out major fish food invertebrates such as the shrimp (*Gammarus*), the Water Hoglouse (*Asellus*) and many species of aquatic molluscs and insect larvae. The loss can be well over 50%. This affects various fish species differentially, with those species, such as trout, preferring shallow water being more negatively impacted, whilst other species (e.g. Arctic charr) better adapted to the profundal (deep bottom) water and/or pelagic (open mid water) tend to make a better fist of the changed conditions.

Scandinavian freshwater ecologists have long wrestled with the problem of mitigating the effects of hydro-regulation on fish populations. They have done so in the appreciation that a complete restoration of the original ecosystem is not possible. Artificial stocking of fish, both native and exotic to compensate for loss of natal spawning habitat has been tried for the former and in the case of the latter, the aim was either to establish a better forage base for fish-eating native stocks or to find exotic species that might better tolerate the new conditions. Success has been variable and brings with it problems of exotic species transferring to other lakes by inter-watershed transfers. Any consideration of applying this technique in Scotland has not only to be wary of the Scandinavian experience, but also with direct experience here in Scotland, as per the of example of Pike being accidentally introduced to the Laggan-Moy-Treig system via a hydro interconnection during the construction of the Lochaber scheme.

Another option that has been a feature of Scandinavian reservoir rehabilitation trials, is the introduction of aquatic invertebrates, mainly glacial relict species, either living in Arctic lakes or large south Scandinavian lakes, once inundated by the proto-Baltic, that might better tolerate the regulated environment and provide food item replacement for the lost native invertebrates. Similar trials, based on this experience, were considered in Scotland during the mid 1970s and the once native Tadpole Shrimp (*Lepidurus arcticus* L.) was introduced to several hill lochs near Loch Ness. This does not appear to have been successful, mainly due to predation by the native Palmate Newt.

Though a variety of species were tried, with variable, rather unspectacular effect in Scandinavia, the one that created the most impact and indeed attracted most controversy, was the Opossum Shrimp (*Mysis relicta*). This species also occurs in one English lake and at various locations in Ireland, but is not native to Scottish lochs. When introduced and established, the Opossum Shrimp turned out to be excellent food for native fish and there was great initial optimism that encouraged further introductions (including illicit ones). However, though greatly benefiting trout, in the long term, it had a profound negative impact on pelagic species such as charr and whitefish, whose juveniles spend their early life within the lakes rather than inflow streams used by trout. This was because, as well as being a scavenger and detritus feeder, the Opossum Shrimp was a highly efficient predator on small and juvenile zooplankton, out-competing the juvenile charr and whitefish for their main food source, thus leading to a population collapse. This did not go down well with local commercial fisherman dependent on these fish stocks. With this in mind, the then proposed introduction of this shrimp species to Scotland, was halted and indeed at the last minute.


These introductions, whether of fish or aquatic invertebrates, remain however a means of circumventing the problems presented by the devastation of the littoral through the loss of organic material and sediments, rather than resolving them. The key issue is finding a continuous replacement for this organic material that is not prevented by the current regulation regime, nor impinges greatly on power production. Experience over the last 40 years in considering this suggests two main methods of achieving this aim and which have particular applicability in the Scottish situation.



Snapshot of the west and east shore of Loch Garry illustrating the impact of tree planting

In 1974, I began a riparian tree planting project at Loch Garry, a hydro-reservoir in Highland Perthshire near the the border of the Cairngorm National Park, specifically aimed at providing leaf litter as a source of food for aquatic invertebrates.(a source known to provide up to 90% of such material in

upland fluvial environments) Notwithstanding initial scepticism, indeed ridicule, the project was later embraced by the formation of a charitable organisation, the Loch Garry Tree Group, which, in a conservation culture increasingly aware of the importance of riparian woodland in freshwater habitats, won a major national conservation award, bringing the issue to a wider general audience.



The principles of riparian woodland restoration apply to lochs and rivers

Perhaps the greatest affirmation of the principle of the project, was a 2009 site visit by international fisheries experts, in which, a Norwegian scientist opined that if Loch Garry were to be surrounded by deciduous woodland a twofold increase in fish food items could be expected. The general and strategic

import of this should not be lost in a situation where there has been massive deforestation in the Highlands, there is a growing awareness of the multiple benefits of reforestation, especially in riparian areas, and where such a process would fit in well with government policy on climate change reduction. Riparian woodland restoration around hydro reservoirs is a multiple win-win scenario in everything from positive public image for the proprietors, right through to native wildlife and anglers. Here, the national parks could play a lead role in further and more extensive demonstration projects around reservoirs such as Loch Cuaich, and Loch an-t-Seilich in the Cairngorm National Park and Loch Sloy in the Loch Lomond and Trossachs National Park.



Loch an-t-Seilich, Gaick, Cairngorms National Park

However useful this indirect source of organic material suitable for aquatic invertebrates might be, the challenge remains to find a direct in-loch plant source capable of surviving the annual water fluctuation regime. Bearing in mind the long term (almost 100 years in some cases) persistence of the barren draw-down zone, both in Scandinavian and Scottish hydro reservoirs and the obvious lack of widespread re-colonisation by native plants, this would appear, at first hand, to be an intractable, if not irresolvable problem. However, following a study tour of Icelandic forests in 1981, I became aware of a wild Alaskan species of lupin (*Lupinus nootkatensis*) and its role in rehabilitating eroded gravel/sand soils.

Further, I noticed that it colonised the upper margins of lake shores, below the high water mark. Subsequent background research and some examples in riverine gravels in Scotland, revealed that the

winter dormancy period of this plant rendered it capable of tolerating periods of inundation. The potential applicability to the annual water amplitude regime in Scottish hydro-reservoirs rapidly sprang to mind. The question being, would it tolerate several months of inundation?

default watermark



Lupin trial demonstrating how these plants can colonise lochshores which have been bare for over 5

Finding an answer had to wait until potential test sites were found which were relatively free from grazing by deer and sheep (Loch Garry being ruled out on that basis) That took 20 years of frustration! Despite the small scale and short duration of the tests, 10 years of the experiment have indicated that the lupin will establish and maintain viability under several metres of water for 4-5 months, the key issue being where the water level is at the time winter dormancy breaks. There is the annual potential here for several metric tonnes of highly palatable invertebrate food per hectare and, as the lupin is a legume, 50-100kg of biologically available natural Nitrogen. The potential to improve fish production is strikingly obvious and combined with the input of tree leaf litter, offers quite a profound change in the perception of the capability of hydro-reservoirs to sustain more fish, indeed other aquatic or terrestrial wildlife and economic activity dependent upon them. Using an exotic species to benefit a range of native terrestrial and aquatic species (from molluscs through to birds and mammals) is of course a controversial argument and one laced with bio-xenophobia, but we should bear in mind that hydro reservoirs have no direct equivalent in nature and represent a man-made environment that has been devastatingly altered from the original. Repair work is now required.

This is an area where our National Parks, if proper National Parks, would take a lead.

default watermark



Think fish! The author, with amazing ferox trout, Loch an-t Seilich, Gaick

Category

1. Cairngorms
2. Loch Lomond and Trossachs

Tags

1. CNPA
2. conservation
3. LLTNPA
4. renewables
5. restoration

Date Created

August 23, 2018

Author
ron-greer

default watermark