An Camus Mor – the latest saga; a new low for planning in the Cairngorms?


# Description




Looking from An Camas Mor to Lairig Ghru – photo credit Badenoch and Strathspey Conservation Group

# By Save the Cairngorms Campaign

In 2014, the CNPA gave planning approval for what is, in effect, a new town of 1500 houses in the National Park. The site on the east side of the River Spey opposite Aviemore, is owned by John Grant of Rothiemurchus and is land of high conservation and landscape value. This development would double the population of Aviemore which is currently around 2800.



An Camas Mor from Craigellachie National Nature Reserve. Photo montage Badenoch and Strathsp



Outline of site from the Cairngorms National Park Authority Committee Report which led to the appro

The An Camas Mor proposal is nothing if not controversial. All the more reason you would think for the developers (An Camas Mor Limited Liability Partnership) behind the project to ensure that the planning conditions attached to the permission in principle (PIP) granted in March 2014 are complied with.

The very nature of the PIP is that it was subject to conditions requiring the applicant to submit various details for approval by the CNPA within three years of the permission. As only the principle of development is established by a PIP, the details requiring further approval are comprehensive and fundamental, dealing with issues such as phasing, layout, design, access, landscape and ecology.

Yet, three years after the PIP was granted, none of the details subject to the conditions have been approved. Only one such application was made to the CNPA but had to be withdrawn because it was so inadequate. As a result the PIP has now lapsed and can no longer be implemented because the further applications required by the conditions have not been made within the statutory time period.

default watermark

# LOCAL NEWS 6 THURSDAY, MARCH 9, 2017 Bid for more t IME **Id new t n**h

#### By GAVIN MUSGROVE

THE developers behind plans for a community over the River Spey from Aviemore are seeking to renew the planning permission granted in

principle for the new town. An Camas Mor LLP has lodged the application with Highland Council's planning service for the variation to extend permission for An Camas Mor on Rothiemurchus for another three years.

Up to 1500 homes could eventually be built over the next two decades at the site by Inverdruie.

Planning permission in principle was issued by the Cairngorms National Park Authority in March



An Camas Mòr reinvents the Strathspey tradition of forest villages

An Camas Mòr spokesman

2014 and is due to run out soon.

In the meantime work has been continuing on the landscape and ecology masterplan, the masterplan and the recreation management plan.

The initial vision of a sister community for Aviemore came from the village's community council in the late 1980s

An An Camas Mòr spokesman said: "On gaining approval in prin-ciple, we have been working hard with the Cairngorms National Park Authority and local stakeholders to fulfil the environmental conditions attached to the proposed development and put together a funding package.

"The permission was due to expire this month, and while there are no environmental changes to this longsustainable project, we are seeking an extension to complete the necessary studies to outline the wide range of benefits to the national park, to its current and future population and to the business community.

"An Camas Mòr reinvents the Strathspey tradition of forest villages creating a sustainable new

ARTIST'S IMPRESSION: How part of the new community could look community for the future, with an innovative combination of homes for people with local jobs, housing, small business premises and community facilities in a woodland setting.

"It has wide support from the local community council, other elected representatives and from within the community.

"As recently as last week the



Cairngorms Business Partnership detailed the socio-economic benefits such a development could bring to the national park in a letter to its chief executive, and a number of significant local business owners have intimated their ongoing support.

"We look forward to working with the park authority and collaborating with the wider community over the weeks and months ahead to enable this exciting project to become a reality and realise the long term benefits for the people and businesses of the area."

Supporting information with the application states one more spe-cies had been identified at the site since permission in principle was

"The update faunal surveys have confirmed the presence of sand lizard within the site," it states, "the relocation of a badger breeding sett and more extensive evidence for the presence of otter and pine marten. Apart from sand lizard - not previ-ously surveyed - no additional protected or notable species have been identified.

Up to 80 homes in the first phase of 200 will affordable homes. No builder has been announced for the project.

The spokesperson for the An Camas Mor LLP claimed to have been working hard on the proposal yet the developer had submitted none of the detailed plans required until the very last moment before planning permission lapsed.

Time limits on planning permissions are imposed for good reason; to ensure that development is



progressed promptly whilst the planning policies under which it was granted are still relevant. Permissions not implemented in good time lapse and are then incapable of being implemented. This is to prevent development from being started some years later when planning policy may have changed.

This is the case with An Camas Mor. The current *Cairngorms National Park Local Development Plan* was adopted in March 2015. The PIP was granted by reference to planning policies in the previous local plan that is now out of date and superseded.

Therefore, if the An Camas Mor development is to be pursued a new planning application for permission in principle will need to be made, and determined by CNPA in accordance with the up to date planning policies of the current local plan. At least that is what planning law, policy and common sense would suggest.

Instead, the developers are trying a more expedient route, known as a Section 42 Application, to vary one of the conditions of the PIP in an attempt to gain a new permission with new time limits. Even though this type of application should not be used to vary a permission that can no longer be implemented, and has a dubious legal basis in these circumstances, the CNPA has registered it as a valid application under reference 2017/0086/DET (see here).

What an impartial observer might find even more surprising is that this back door route to getting the developer out of a hole of its own making seems to be based on the advice of CNPA officers. Yet the condition of the PIP that the developer now seeks to remove via its Section 42 Application is perhaps the most fundamental of all; the condition that requires *a full review of the impact of the first 630 dwellings* before further development can commence.

This *full review* was deemed essential by CNPA officers and its planning committee at every stage of the lengthy consideration of the proposal, but the CNPA may now be about to abandon this critical check on a development that remains highly controversial and for which the developers have been unable to provide any details worthy of approval.



An Camus Mor is home to many interesting species including the Northern February Red Stonefly (E putata) – UK Priority species, Nationally Notable species, Scottish Biodiversity List species (endemic i.e. not found elsewhere in the world so British populations are of international importance, with its st the Scottish Highlands) Photo Credit Badenoch and Strathspey Conservation Group.

Due to its particularly sensitive location and likely impacts, the An Camas Mor new town was *only* granted planning permission in principle subject not only to the full review at an early stage but also regular monitoring and appropriate phasing thereafter. Perhaps even more fundamentally, such an apparently incongruous development only gained planning permission at all because it promised to be an exemplar of a new, sustainable and self-contained community that would provide appropriate housing, employment, services and amenity for local people. How else could a new town in Scotland's flagship National Park possibly be considered, let alone justified?

If the developers cannot even ensure that timeous applications are made for detailed approval in accordance with conditions, what chance is there of any development ever taking shape as promised with the necessary environmental protection and enhancement?

The CNPA has a statutory duty to act as a planning *authority* in the public interest and to ensure that the An Camas Mor development either fulfils its promised objectives entirely or does not happen at all. That is why the CNPA imposed the conditions it did on the PIP and why it should stand by those

conditions and reject any attempt to weaken them.

The Section 42 Application should be refused. The only option now for the developers, if they intend to proceed at all, is to submit a new application for permission in principle to be considered on its merits.

Representations on the Section 42 Application (2017/0086/DET), which can be viewed on the CNPA's website, must be made by 13 April 2017. The PIP is also on the CNPA website under reference 09/0155/CP.



Pinewood Mason Bee (Osmia uncinata) – UK Priority species, UK Red List Vulnerable species, Scottish Biodiversity List species (a pinewood specialist which is restricted to northern Scotland within Britain) photo credit Tim Ransom, Badenoch and Strathspey Conservation Group

https://www.flickr.com/photos/bs

default watermark

# Addendum

The Badenoch and Strathspey Conservation Group has produced a photo album of the An Camas Mor site with over 4500 photos, mostly of stunning insect species. It illustrates the fantastic animal life that is out there for people to enjoy and implicitly raises the question, should our National Parks really be developing new towns? Highly recommended (see here).

#### Category

1. Cairngorms

### Tags

1. CNPA

- 2. conservation
- 3. Development Plan
- 4. planning

#### Date Created

March 29, 2017 **Author** save-the-cairngorms-campaign

default watermark