

The camping byelaw conspiracy – divide and rule

Description

default watermark

4.16 Loch Lomond Islands

As Members are aware the previous consu provoked very vocal criticism and polarised rejected the need for any management at a have been highly critical of the NPA ever si wishes to see a highly restrictive approach on one island and an outright ban on the ot

The Loch Lomond Island situation is in con where in the vast majority there is strong co stronger management of camping. This is r a strong risk that what could otherwise be a Park area will be derailed by controversy ov

Early meetings have been held with both th Estates to explore potential common groun to reach any consensus.

As things stand it is strongly recommended excluded from the forthcoming camping ma the enforcement of the existing Loch Lomond islands) and the continued deployment of R solution for camping on the islands would n process.

Extract from decision paper written by Gordon Watson, current Chief Executive, and presented at se Information Commissioner.

The above extract speaks for itself and confirms what most people already knew, the LLTNPA deliberately omitted the Loch Lomond Islands from the camping byelaw consultation because they thought if they did so, this might stop their attempt to undermine access rights because of the opposition it would create. This extract and the minute of the Board Meeting of April 2015 both record that the islands will be next.

The LLTNPA is picking off recreational groups one by one. The boating interests on the loch were first and they have just been told that the boat launching facility at Milarrochy will be closed from 1st April. That leaves just one place to launch boats onto Loch Lomond – and this is meant to be a National Park that serves the people on the west of Scotland. It appears likely that the Rangers that were deployed to help boats get onto the loch are to be redeployed in order to enforce the camping byelaws. In other words, the various attempts to control recreational use are all connected, and people need to set aside their own recreational perspectives (which tends to divide motor boats from dinghy sailors and campervans from campers) and see the wider picture. I would like to see recreational organisations unite against what is happening and not allow themselves to be divided by their differences. This ultimately is about people retaining their rights to enjoy the countryside.

The extract is also significant because it provides yet more proof that the LLTNPA's claim that the secret "Board Briefings" did not take decisions is complete rubbish. Recommendations were clearly made and approved in a meeting that was not open to the public. This is not how public authorities should operate and I will repeat my call that the new Convener, James Stuart, should abolish this practice as soon as he takes up post on 1st March.

Category

1. Loch Lomond and Trossachs

Tags

1. access rights
2. Camping bye laws
3. Freedom of Information
4. Governance
5. LLTNPA
6. secrecy

Date Created

February 9, 2017

Author

nickkempe