



**Beaully-Denny  
Replacement Transmission Line  
Restoration Monitoring 2016**

Beaully to Denny Project

October 2016

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Overview of the Development	1
1.3	Background	2
1.4	Requirements of the CPH	2
<b>2</b>	<b>RESTORATION</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	The Definition of Full Restoration	5
2.2	Objectives of Restoration	5
<b>3</b>	<b>RESTORATION MONITORING</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1	Percentage Coverage	7
3.2	Species Lists	7
<b>4</b>	<b>RESTORATION MONITORING YEAR 1 2016</b>	<b>8</b>
4.1	Weather Conditions 2016	8
4.2	Natural Regeneration	8
4.3	Monitoring findings	8
4.4	Summary of Restoration Monitoring Year 1	9
4.5	Manipulation of natural regeneration	9
<b>5</b>	<b>APPENDIX 1 – RESTORATION MONITORING RESULTS</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>APPENDIX 2 – UPLAND SEED MIX</b>	<b>1</b>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 BACKGROUND

The Beaully to Denny Replacement Transmission Line Development is a joint undertaking by Scottish Hydro-Electric Transmission Limited (SHETL) and Scottish Power Transmission (SPT). On 28 September 2005, SHETL applied for consent (under Section 37 of the Electricity Act 1989), and planning permission (under Section 57(2) of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997), to construct a new 400kV transmission line between Beaully Sub-station and the Wharry Burn, near Dunblane.

### 1.2 OVERVIEW OF THE DEVELOPMENT

The Beaully to Denny Replacement Transmission Line Development<sup>1</sup> consists of:

- Construction of a double circuit 400 kilovolt (kV) overhead transmission line supported on steel lattice towers between Beaully and Denny.
- Removal of the existing 132kV line between Beaully and Denny including restoration and reinstatement.
- Construction of temporary access tracks (of which 7km may become permanent) and working areas.
- Upgrading of existing access tracks.
- Junction works where access tracks join the public road network.
- Various upgrades to the existing public road network to facilitate the required construction traffic.
- Dismantling of the existing substation at Braco.

In addition to the works described above there will be the following associated works:

- Temporary and permanent power line and telecoms diversions.
- A number of rationalisation schemes to improve the landscape and visual conditions associated with existing overhead transmission lines.
- Development at existing substations and construction of new substations.
- Operation and restoration of borrow pits.
- Construction and restoration of site compounds.
- Forestry activities

---

<sup>1</sup> As defined in Annex 1 of the Section 37 Planning Consent.

The Environmental Statement (ES) for the entire Development split the works into four sections from north to south:

- Beaully Substation to Fort Augustus.
- Fort Augustus to Tummel Bridge.
- Tummel Bridge to Braco.
- Braco to Denny.

However the SHETL section of the overhead line has been divided into 3 sections to facilitate management of the construction process:

- Beaully to Fort Augustus.
- Fort Augustus to Tummel Bridge.
- Tummel Bridge to the Wharry Burn.

### **1.3 BACKGROUND**

This document provides details of the approach proposed for annual monitoring long term restoration of access tracks and compounds (hereafter referred to as affected locations), following the completion of the main works elements of the Beaully to Denny project. Reinstatement and restoration of the Beaully-Denny project is critical to the long-term legacy of the project.

This document aims to provide a description of the restoration. Within this document the terms reinstatement and restoration are not interchangeable.

The objective of monitoring of the affected locations is to ensure that all necessary measures are taken to achieve the overriding objective of full restoration of the impacted habitat and to achieve this restoration within the shortest timescale.

### **1.4 REQUIREMENTS OF THE CPH**

The restoration Monitoring has been undertaken taking into account all requirements of the CPH where applicable.

Section 5 of the CPH states that all restoration should be carried out in accordance with the environmental commitments listed in Section 2.2 of the CPH. These environmental commitments are listed below:

- Conditions attached to the statutory consents granted by the Scottish Ministers to SHETL;
- Mitigation measures set out in the Environmental Statement (ES); the first addendum to the ES; the second addendum to the ES and as agreed at the Public Local Inquiry;
- Further mitigation measures agreed post publication with consultees;
- Conditions and commitments agreed between SHETL and landowners/occupiers;

- Any conditions of Controlled Activities Regulations (CAR) authorisations;
- Any commitments relating to waste management;
- Any conditions included in European Protected Species (EPS) or other protected species licences;
- Any conditions attached to Scheduled Monument Consents;
- Any specific requirements relating to archaeological sites as agreed with Council archaeologists and Historic Scotland;
- Environmental commitments in the Contractor's Environmental Management System (EMS); and
- Environmental best practice measures including those set out by statutory agencies such as the Scottish Government, SEPA, SNH, HS, Planning Authorities and FCS etc.

Section 5 also provides detail on all documents and sections of the CPH which should be referenced when preparing restoration documents. These include

- Appendix 4 (Environmental Commitments);
- Appendix 10 (Landscape Design Plans); and
- Appendix 31 (Forestry Wayleave Design Plans).

It is noted that Appendices 8 and 24 of the CPH set out key restoration principles which should be followed, including 'lessons learnt' from previous projects. Best practice from Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) and the Forestry Commission Scotland (FCS) should inform all restoration proposals.

It is important to note that Section 5 of the CPH makes the following comment: *'Reinstatement and restoration are used interchangeably – however it should be noted that each site will be re-instated as close as possible to its original condition and habitats will be restored.'* Within this document the terms reinstatement and restoration are not interchangeable and a definition of reinstatement and restoration are set out in section 2.

Appendix 8 of the CPH provides details on the delivery of restoration for the project. It firstly notes that restoration will be more successful if planned in advance and this is the recommendation to be taken forward. Restoration must be discussed in full with the SHETL Environmental Management team and restoration plans should have input from the project Ecological Clerk of Works (ECoW) and the project landscape architect. Allied to this, the restoration plans should take into account the agreements for forestry and landscape as set out in CPH appendices 10 and 18. A plan detailing the way in which the restoration process will be monitored should be developed, and it should set out who will undertake the monitoring and timescales for the monitoring procedures. Consideration should also be given to how deer pressures may affect planting regimes. All restoration

plans should be discussed and agreed with SNH prior to works commencing on site. Appendix 24 of the CPH sets out the importance of using indigenous plant species in restoration. Species typical to the project areas are listed in the appendix and key landscape characteristics are also included for reference.

## **2 RESTORATION**

### **2.1 THE DEFINITION OF FULL RESTORATION**

The definition of “full restoration” is not necessarily straightforward, particularly for complex vegetation communities. Totally subjective or objective approaches are likely to be problematic and it is likely that it will be necessary to utilise a combination of both subjective and objective techniques for monitoring affected locations.

The broad definition of full restoration is more straightforward than the specific detailed approach to establishing that it has been achieved. In simple terms, following construction of the overhead line, it would be reasonable to expect that the habitat should be restored to one that is of similar type, structure, species composition and of at least equivalent quality/value to that which was present prior to construction. In achieving this, certain changes to the vegetation, that may occur as a result of the construction, restoration procedures, or through natural change (or anthropogenic change) and which may be either beneficial or adverse; need to be fully taken into account.

It will be necessary to define full restoration on a site by site basis in a manner which can be agreed between all parties and which will enable the progress of reinstatement to be measured against the full reinstatement target on an annual basis as part of the monitoring reporting.

The following sections outline the rationale behind a proposed approach to defining full restoration.

### **2.2 OBJECTIVES OF RESTORATION**

The objectives for restoration of the habitats along the overhead line route are to:

- Ensure that, as far as possible, the mosaic of valuable plant communities that are present on the site prior to construction are reinstated and continue to grow on the site post-construction;
- Maintain plant species diversity;
- Maintain the value of the site for fauna;
- Avoid the spread of undesirable plants including weed species;
- Avoid the spread of plants that could threaten the conservation value of the plant communities present;
- Maintain the range of hydrological conditions present on this site; and
- Ensure that the restored area is suitable for the management regime that currently exists on the site.

The objectives of the monitoring are as follows:

- To provide data at the completion of monitoring years that would enable SSE and the IEC to assess the regeneration of vegetation within the “affected locations”.

- To enable the early identification of deficiencies in the reinstatement so as to enable a programme of remedial action to be agreed.

To enable the end point of aftercare and monitoring to be agreed i.e. full restoration of the impacted habitat. This is expected to be reached within a period of five years for all sites but may be varied on a site-by-site basis subject to the agreement of the consultees.

Both the Cairngorms National Park Authority (CNPA) and Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) have been fully consulted on the restoration monitoring and continue to be involved as the lead consultees through individual meetings, site visits and through the Environmental Liaison Group forums.



### **3 RESTORATION MONITORING**

The following provides the approach to monitoring that has been taken during year 1 (2016) after construction activity have been completed for Affected Locations on the Beaulieu to Denny project.

In general, the Affected Locations have full vegetation cover and distinctive structure, the replication of which is fundamental to full restoration. This can be measured through a comparison of estimated cover against that established in the adjacent habitat. Structure can be readily assessed visually through an annual photographic record.

#### **3.1 PERCENTAGE COVERAGE**

Within a survey area the percentage coverage of vegetation will be recorded into 4 categories;

- 0 – 25% - Sparse
- 25-50% - Mediocre
- 50-75% Good
- 75 – 100% Excellent

When recorded year on year the surveys should show and increase in percentage coverage as full restoration is achieved. Where the percentage coverage is shown to remain the same, revegetation techniques can be used to increase the rate of restoration.

#### **3.2 SPECIES LISTS**

Species lists such as can give some broad indication of change in the floristics of a vegetation type, for instance where there is a completely different set of dominant species.

Within a survey area there are species which are consistently recorded and which are considered to be essential in achieving a similar restored plant community post-construction. These constant species are those which are important in the definition of a particular habitat type. The successful re-establishment of these species is therefore considered to be a fundamental aspect of full restoration.

It is also probable that previously unrecorded species will appear within the reinstated sward. Whilst these are most likely to be undesirable species they may also be desirable species that were present as viable seed / fruit in the seedbank and were encouraged as a result of the construction activity and the change brought about by it.

## **4 RESTORATION MONITROING YEAR 1 2016**

Year 1 of the restoration monitoring began in July and was completed in September. The information below provides and overview of the monitoring findings. A Full list of findings for each affected location is presented in Appendix 1.

### **4.1 WEATHER CONDITIONS 2016**

Weather patterns can hamper natural regeneration of a habitat. 2016 weather conditions are summarised below;

- Spring - following on from a wet and notably mild winter, the spring was overall mostly unremarkable, with temperature and rainfall overall very close to the seasonal average. All three spring months brought above-average sunshine totals to the UK, and for Scotland it was within the ten sunniest springs in the historical record back to 1929.
- Summer – summer rainfall totals were above average for most areas but it was slightly drier than average in northern and western Scotland. July was wetter than average over Scotland and August had near or rather above average sunshine except in parts of western Scotland.

### **4.2 NATURAL REGENERATION**

It is always better to allow natural regeneration to take place after any major construction project. Plants most suited to that location, its specific soil conditions and micro-climate, will establish quicker and with less requirement for nutrient input or additional works such as strimming or supplementary seeding (where the first attempts at seeding have not proved as successful as hoped).

Furthermore local varieties and unique genetic variants of plants will tolerate local conditions far better than imported material. In addition local pollinating invertebrates will be in situ to accelerate the lifecycles and colonisation of native plants on a formerly bare site.

### **4.3 MONITORING FINDINGS**

The monitoring surveys undertaken have identified that natural regeneration of vegetation is occurring across the project in all habitats surveyed however the rate of natural regeneration varies on a site by site basis.

The rate of natural restoration of the ground depends on the conditions of reinstatement within the compounds and access tracks. Soil management is crucial to successful reinstatement and has been varied across the project. General findings indicate that soils along the access tracks have been correctly separated during construction, i.e. turfs, top soils and subsoils separated, and natural regeneration of vegetation is occurring. Soils in the compounds have been generally mixed during the construction process and the reinstated soil horizons are also mixed, slowing the natural regeneration.

The rate of restoration is higher where levels of soil were higher before construction works began. These areas have re-vegetated far better than those compounds where deep wet peat predominated prior to construction. Peat is very low in accessible plant nutrients and is thus very slow to be colonised by plants after disturbance. However once plants have established a cover over peat this cover can be species-rich and resilient to further disturbance.

Compounds where the pre-construction peat horizon was dry or shallow have re-vegetated better than where deep wet peat horizons were more typical. With hindsight such deep wet peat sites should have had better drainage systems incorporated and features such as terracing should have been included on slopes with wet peat. These features would have encouraged natural regeneration of vegetation and also helped avoid peat haggling.

#### **4.4 SUMMARY OF RESTORATION MONITORING YEAR 1**

In summary it is recommended that the vast majority of compound sites be allowed to re-vegetate naturally with no seed sown or nutrients added; this will help ensure that a good semi-natural plant community develops and which is typical of the adjacent plant communities. Further monitoring of these affected locations is expected to show a year on year increase in the percentage coverage and a change in the species composition as natural succession occurs.

In total 95 compounds have been identified as having an excellent level of restoration and will not require repeat surveys. These compounds are mostly located in the north and south sections however some compounds notably the compounds FT81, FT82, FT83 and FT84 along track 20 on the Ardverike Estate are of very high botanical diversity with several uncommon plant species recorded and are in excellent condition.

Percentage ground coverage on upland areas is generally sparse, 0 – 25% as would be expected in the first year following construction. Some affected locations have shown Mediocre to Good levels of regeneration and this is usually based on soil type and aspect.

In some areas the rate of natural regeneration is slowed by the aspect, soil type and grazing.

#### **4.5 MANIPULATION OF NATURAL REGENERATION**

It is recommended that the vast majority of compound sites be allowed to re-vegetate naturally with no seed sown or nutrients added; this will help ensure that a good semi-natural plant community develops which is typical of the adjacent plant communities.

One area of concern is FT42 - FT47, 6 compounds and the associated access route in the Corrieyairack Pass where no signs of natural regeneration are present. Water logging of several tower legs is also

present. It is recommended that a seed mix be applied to these sites in the spring of 2017. A suitable upland seed mix has been identified and should be used in these locations.

Most planting objectives fall into the following categories:

- Erosion control;
- Beautification and enhancement of landscapes;
- Biodiversity and wildlife habitat enhancement & restoration; and
- Historical, cultural, economic and ecological restoration.

The use of appropriate native plants saves time and money by reducing maintenance requirements. Seeding a habitat should be undertaken by selecting a combination of species that creates the landscape desired. Native plant communities can be selected to meet all site conditions. Matching the functional goals of a site and site conditions to the appropriate seed mix will lead to greater success.

An upland seed mix is recommended where the project decides that seeding is required. Species and seed mix should include;

- A mix of heathers, grass and flower species suited to altitude and acidic low fertile soils;
- Species that will tolerate both wet and drought conditions;
- Species suitable to provide grazing material and pollinators.

Species can be altered to provide more rapid ground cover for slope stability as desired.

## 5 APPENDIX 1 – RESTORATION MONITORING RESULTS

Track	Construction Tower Number	Tower Working Number	Reinstated (date)	Reinstatement Comments / Required Action / Mitigation	Flag	Reinstatement & Restoration Comments	Flag	Additional Comments
1	BF1D	BNI / BGI 1		Tower is located in the Sub Station therefore no reinstatement of soils		Tower is located in the Sub Station therefore no restoration of soils		No further monitoring required
1	BF2	BNI/BGI 2		Tower is located in the quarry therefore no reinstatement of soils		Tower is located in the quarry therefore no restoration of soils		No further monitoring required
1	BF3	BNI/BGI 3		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard and soils remain intact with no slippage.		The ground within the compound had been recently ploughed, harrowed and sown with grass seed.		No further Monitoring required
2	BF3	BNI/BGI 4		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		A sown agricultural seed mix –very species-poor; occasional Lotus corniculatus. Fenced off from cattle-grazed pasture.		No further Monitoring required
3	BF5C	BNI/BGI 5		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		100% restored and a good example of natural recolonisation		No further Monitoring required
3	BF6C	BNI/BGI 6		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		The compound has good ground coverage of natural regeneration and is 50-75% regenerated with a good number of plant species.		The large quantity of broom and gorse will likely mean that this site develops into dense scrub within five years. No further Monitoring required.
3	BF7C	BNI/BGI 7		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Land is in arable crop use		No further monitoring required
3	BF8C	BNI/BGI 8		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Land is in arable crop use		No further monitoring required
4	BF9D	BNI / BGI 9		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Naturally self-seeding; although dominated by rushes this area is quite open and has a good diversity of plant species.		No further monitoring required
4	BF10C	BNI / BGI 10		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		100% restored and a good example of natural recolonisation		No further Monitoring required
4	BF11B	BNI / BGI 11		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		100% restored and a good example of natural recolonisation		No further Monitoring required
4	BF12B	BNI / BGI 12		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		100% restored and a good example of natural recolonisation		No further Monitoring required
5	BF13	BNI / BGI 13		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Compound is within former forestry land and is therefore slow to regrow. Compound is sparse 0 – 25%		Further Monitoring required – it is likely that the compound will be fully established within 1 - 2 years.
5	BF14D	BNI / BGI 14		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		An area of poor semi-improved grassland established on former forestry land. The compound is 50-75% restored.		Further Monitoring required – it is likely that the compound will be fully established within 1 - 2 years.
5	BF15C	BNI / BGI 15		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		An area of poor semi-improved grassland established on former forestry land. The compound is 50-75% restored.		Further Monitoring required – it is likely that the compound will be fully established within 1 - 2 years.
5	BF16	BNI / BGI 16		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Just over 50% of the survey area supports vegetation. There are many spruce seedlings.		Further Monitoring required – it is likely that the compound will be fully established within 1 - 2 years.
5	BF17	BNI / BGI 17		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Semi-improved acidic grassland and a good example of natural recolonisation		No further Monitoring required
5	BF18C	BNI / BGI 18		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Marshy grassland established naturally in compound		No further Monitoring required
5	BF19	BNI / BGI 19		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		All plants appear to be self-sown here; have established quite well. Compound is 50 – 75% ground cover.		Further Monitoring required – it is likely that the compound will be fully established within 1 - 2 years.
5	BF21	BNI / BGI 20		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		All plants appear to be self-sown here; have established quite well. Compound is 50 – 75% ground cover.		Further Monitoring required – it is likely that the compound will be fully established within 1 - 2 years.
8	BF21/1A	BNI / BGI 21		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		An area of poor semi-improved grassland established on former forestry land. The compound is 50-75% restored.		Further Monitoring required – it is likely that the compound will be fully established within 1 - 2 years.
8	BF22	BNI / BGI 22		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		An area of poor semi-improved grassland established on former forestry land. The compound is 50-75% restored.		Further Monitoring required – it is likely that the compound will be fully established within 1 - 2 years.
8	BF23	BNI / BGI 23		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		An area of marshy grassland dominated by toad rush from natural regeneration. The compound is 50-75% restored.		Further Monitoring required – it is likely that the compound will be fully established within 1 - 2 years.
8	BF24	BNI / BGI 24		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Natural regeneration, establishing well as marshy grassland and is more boggy downslope where species such as star sedge are more abundant. The compound is 50-75% restored.		Further Monitoring required – it is likely that the compound will be fully established within 1 - 2 years.
8	BF25	BNI / BGI 25		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Natural regeneration, establishing well as marshy grassland and is more boggy downslope where species such as star sedge are more abundant. The compound is 50-75% restored.		Further Monitoring required – it is likely that the compound will be fully established within 1 - 2 years.
8	BF26	BNI / BGI 26		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Natural regeneration, establishing well as marshy grassland and is more boggy downslope where species such as star sedge are more abundant. The compound is 25-50% restored.		Further Monitoring required – it is likely that the compound will be fully established within 1 - 2 years.
8	BF27	BNI / BGI 27		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		A lot of peaty bare ground and shattered timber debris present in the compound and it is more boggy downslope where toad rush Juncus buffonius is common. Heather Calluna vulgaris is very common in the drier areas to the west of the compound. The compound is 50-75% restored.		Further Monitoring required – it is likely that the compound will be fully established within 1 - 2 years.
8	BF28	BNI / BGI 28		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Part marshy grassland, in part developing into dwarf shrub heath. The compound is 25-50% restored		Further Monitoring required – it is likely that the compound will be fully established within 1 - 2 years.
9	BF29B	BNI / BGI		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Slow restoration Becoming Dwarf Shrub heath in places and marshy grassland elsewhere		Further monitoring required

Track	Construction Tower Number	Tower Working Number	Reinstated (date)	Reinstatement Comments / Required Action / Mitigation	Flag	Reinstatement & Restoration Comments	Flag	Additional Comments
		29						
9	BF30	BNI / BGI 30		Poor reinstatement, 95% bare ground; a fair amount of geotextile debris lying around		Restoration is sparse		Further monitoring required
9	BF31A	BNI / BGI 31		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard: a fair amount of geotextile debris lying around. Litter pick advised		Restoration is sparse		Further monitoring required
9	BF32A	BNI / BGI 32		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Mostly bare ground and rocks.		Further monitoring required
9	BF33	BNI / BGI 33		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration is excellent and appears to have been reseeded		No further monitoring required.
9	BF33/I	BNI / BGI 34		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard. Some construction debris in compound.		Restoration is mediocre through natural regeneration.		Further monitoring required
9	BF34	BNI / BGI 35		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard. Some construction debris in compound.		Restoration is mediocre through natural regeneration.		Further monitoring required
9	BF35	BNI / BGI 36		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration is good through natural regeneration.		Further monitoring required. The compound is likely to be fully restored in 1-2 years
9	BF36	BNI / BGI 37		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration is good through natural regeneration.		Further monitoring required. The compound is likely to be fully restored in 1-2 years
9	BF36/IA	BNI / BGI 38		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration is good through natural regeneration.		Further monitoring required. The compound is likely to be fully restored in 1-2 years
9	BF37	BNI / BGI 39		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration is good through natural regeneration.		Further monitoring required. The compound is likely to be fully restored in 1-2 years
9	BF38	BNI / BGI 40		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration is sparse		Further monitoring required
9	BF38/I	BNI / BGI 41		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration is sparse		Further monitoring required
9	FT39	BNI / BGI 42		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration is sparse		Further monitoring required
9	FT40	BNI / BGI 43		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration is sparse		Further monitoring required
9	FT42	BNI / BGI 44		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration is sparse		Further monitoring required
9	FT43	BNI / BGI 45		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration is mediocre through natural regeneration.		Further monitoring required
9	FT45	BNI / BGI 46		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration is mediocre through natural regeneration.		Further monitoring required
9	FT46	BNI / BGI 47		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration is good through natural regeneration.		Further monitoring required. The compound is likely to be fully restored in 1-2 years
	FT47	BNI / BGI 48		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration is excellent and appears to have been reseeded		No further monitoring required.
9	FT48	BNI / BGI 49		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration is excellent and appears to have been reseeded		No further monitoring required.
9	FT49	BNI / BGI 50		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration is excellent and appears to have been reseeded		No further monitoring required.
10				Compounds not surveyed due to access				
10	BF95B	NGI / BGI 95		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard, turves haven't been reinstated.		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Turves that have been reinstated are often poorly reinstated and dead having been placed upside down with roost exposed.		Further Monitoring required.
10	BF97	NGI / BGI 96		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard, turves haven't been reinstated.		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Turves that have been reinstated are often poorly reinstated and dead having been placed upside down with roost exposed.		Further Monitoring required.
10	BF98A	NGI / BGI 97		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard, turves haven't been reinstated.		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Turves that have been reinstated are often poorly reinstated and dead having been placed upside down with roost exposed.		Further Monitoring required.
10	BF99A	NGI / BGI 98		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard, turves haven't been reinstated.		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Turves that have been reinstated are often poorly reinstated and dead having been placed upside down with roost exposed.		Further Monitoring required.
10	BF100	NGI / BGI 99		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard, turves haven't been reinstated.		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Turves that have been reinstated are often poorly reinstated and dead having been placed upside down with roost exposed. About 15% of the compound is vegetated.		Further Monitoring required.
10	BF101	NGI / BGI 100		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard, Pieces of metal found within the compound		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Turves that have been reinstated are often poorly reinstated and dead having been placed upside down with roost exposed. About 15% of the compound is vegetated.		Further Monitoring required.
10	BF102	NGI / BGI 101		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard, turves haven't been reinstated.		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Turves that have been reinstated are often poorly reinstated and dead having been placed upside down with roost exposed.		Further Monitoring required.
10	BF103	NGI / BGI 102		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard, turves haven't been reinstated.		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Turves that have been reinstated are often poorly reinstated and dead having been placed upside down with roost exposed.		Further Monitoring required.
10	BF104	NGI / BGI 103		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard, turves haven't been reinstated.		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Turves that have been reinstated are often poorly reinstated and dead having been placed upside down with roost exposed.		Further Monitoring required.

Track	Construction Tower Number	Tower Working Number	Reinstated (date)	Reinstatement Comments / Required Action / Mitigation	Flag	Reinstatement & Restoration Comments	Flag	Additional Comments
10	BF105	NGI / BGI 104		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard, turves haven't been reinstated.		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Turves that have been reinstated are often poorly reinstated and dead having been placed upside down with roost exposed.		Further Monitoring required.
10	BF106	NGI / BGI 105		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard, turves haven't been reinstated.		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Turves that have been reinstated are often poorly reinstated and dead having been placed upside down with roost exposed.		Further Monitoring required.
10	BF107	NGI / BGI 106		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard, turves haven't been reinstated.		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Turves that have been reinstated are often poorly reinstated and dead having been placed upside down with roost exposed.		Further Monitoring required.
10	BF108	NGI / BGI 107		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard, turves haven't been reinstated.		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Turves that have been reinstated are often poorly reinstated and dead having been placed upside down with roost exposed.		Further Monitoring required.
10	BF109	NGI / BGI 108		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard, turves haven't been reinstated.		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Turves that have been reinstated are often poorly reinstated and dead having been placed upside down with roost exposed. The north-eastern side of this area is less disturbed and this is where most of the vegetation is.		Further Monitoring required.
10	BF110	NGI / BGI 109		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard, turves haven't been reinstated.		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Turves that have been reinstated are often poorly reinstated and dead having been placed upside down with roost exposed.		Further Monitoring required.
10	BF111	NGI / BGI 110		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard, turves haven't been reinstated.		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Turves that have been reinstated are often poorly reinstated and dead having been placed upside down with roost exposed. Good natural regeneration in the north-west (where vegetative cover is 75%) where there is a broad soakaway.		Further Monitoring required.
10	BF112	NGI / BGI 111		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard, turves haven't been reinstated.		Restoration in the compound is mediocre, 25-50% most likely due to being deer fenced removing grazing pressure.		Further Monitoring required.
10	BF113	NGI / BGI 112		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration to a good standard, 50-75%, very species-rich site over a much jumbled soil, rock and peat substrate. Many birch seedlings are present.		Further Monitoring required.
13	BF114	NGI / BGI 113		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre, 25-50% through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required.
13	BF115	NGI / BGI 114		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre, 25-50% through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required.
14	BF116	NGI / BGI 115		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre, 25-50% through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required.
14	BF117	NGI / BGI 116		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre, 25-50% through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required.
14	BF118	NGI / BGI 117		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Turves that have been reinstated are often poorly reinstated and dead having been placed upside down with roost exposed.		Further Monitoring required.
14	BF119	NGI / BGI 118		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Turves that have been reinstated are often poorly reinstated and dead having been placed upside down with roost exposed.		Further Monitoring required.
14	BF120	NGI / BGI 119		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration through natural regeneration to a good standard.		Further Monitoring required.
14	BF121	NGI / BGI 120		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration through natural regeneration to a good standard.		Further Monitoring required.
14	BF122	NGI / BGI 121		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration through natural regeneration to a mediocre standard.		Further Monitoring required.
14	BF123	NGI / BGI 122		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration through natural regeneration to a good standard.		Further Monitoring required.
14	BF124	NGI / BGI 123		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration through natural regeneration to a good standard.		Further Monitoring required.
14	BF125	NGI / BGI 124		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration through natural regeneration to a good standard.		Further Monitoring required.
14	BF126	NGI / BGI 125		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration through natural regeneration to a good standard.		Further Monitoring required.
14	BF127	NGI / BGI 126		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration through natural regeneration to a good standard.		Further Monitoring required.
14	BF128	NGI / BGI 127		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration through natural regeneration to a good standard.		Further Monitoring required.
14	BF129	NGI / BGI 128		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
14	BF130	NGI / BGI 129		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		The compound is 75-100% restored through natural regeneration		No further monitoring required.
14	BF130A/IA	NGI / BGI 130		Possible reinstatement of the compound has not taken place. Spoil was noted around the site.		0% regeneration.		Further Monitoring required.
14	BF131	NGI / BGI 131		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
14	BF132	NGI / BGI 132		In Substation		In Substation		No further monitoring required.
15	FTI	GYI / GMI I		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.

Track	Construction Tower Number	Tower Working Number	Reinstated (date)	Reinstatement Comments / Required Action / Mitigation	Flag	Reinstatement & Restoration Comments	Flag	Additional Comments
15	FT2	GYI / GMI 2		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
16	FT3	GYI / GMI 3		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
17	FT4	GYI / GMI 4		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
17	FT5	GYI / GMI 5		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT6	GYI / GMI 6		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT7	GYI / GMI 7		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse. Evidence of deer grazing new growth.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT8	GYI / GMI 8		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT9	GYI / GMI 9		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is mediocre with natural regeneration present.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT10	GYI / GMI 10		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard – Litter pick advised for geotextile	Yellow	Restoration in the compound is excellent with natural regeneration present. Some bare patches present.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT11	GYI / GMI 11		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard – Posts, geotextile, matting left beside spur road	Red	Restoration in the compound is excellent with natural regeneration present. Some bare patches present.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT12	GYI / GMI 12		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse. Evidence of deer grazing new growth.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT13	GYI / GMI 13		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard – Litter pick for silt fencing left in situ	Yellow	Restoration in the compound is mediocre with natural regeneration present.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT14	GYI / GMI 14		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse. Evidence of deer grazing new growth.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT15	GYI / GMI 15		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse. Evidence of deer grazing new growth.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT16	GYI / GMI 16		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse. And will be slow due to the nature of the soils.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT17	GYI / GMI 17		Poor reinstatement with much stone left in the compound and visible at the surface	Red	Restoration in the compound is sparse. And will be slow due to the nature of the soils.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT18	GYI / GMI 18		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard – Litter pick for geotextile and silt fencing left in compound	Yellow	Restoration in the compound is good. Evidence of deer grazing new growth.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT19	GYI / GMI 19		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT20	GYI / GMI 20		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse. And will be slow due to the nature of the soils.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT21	GYI / GMI 21		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is good. Evidence of deer grazing new growth.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT22	GYI / GMI 22		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard – Litter pick for silt fencing left in situ	Yellow	Restoration in the compound is good.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT23	GYI / GMI 23		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse. And will be slow due to the nature of the soils.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT24	GYI / GMI 24		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse. And will be slow due to the nature of the soils.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT25	GYI / GMI 25		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse. And will be slow due to the nature of the soils.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT26	GYI / GMI 26		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse. And will be slow due to the nature of the soils.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT27	GYI / GMI 27		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT28	GYI / GMI 28		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT29	GYI / GMI 29		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT30	GYI / GMI 30		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT31	GYI / GMI 31		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT32	GYI / GMI 32		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration. Evidence of deer grazing new growth.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT33	GYI / GMI 33		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT34	GYI / GMI 34		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT35	GYI / GMI 35		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
18	FT36	GYI / GMI 36		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.



Track	Construction Tower Number	Tower Working Number	Reinstated (date)	Reinstatement Comments / Required Action / Mitigation	Flag	Reinstatement & Restoration Comments	Flag	Additional Comments
18	FT37	GYI / GMI 37		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
18	FT38	GYI / GMI 38		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
18	FT38C1	GYI / GMI 39		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
18	FT38C2A	GYI / GMI 40		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
18	FT39	GYI / GMI 41		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
18	FT39B/IA	GYI / GMI 42		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre and was seeded in 2014		Further Monitoring required.
18	FT40	GYI / GMI 43		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse and was seeded in 2014		Further Monitoring required.
18	FT41	GYI / GMI 44		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre and was seeded in 2014		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT42	GYI / GMI 45		Soil management during construction has led to poor reinstatement with mixed soils. No attempt has been made at retaining a vegetative layer for reinstatement.		The compound is approximately 5% restored through natural regeneration, Revegetation techniques should be used for this compound.		Poor level of reinstatement and restoration. Further revegetation techniques required to assist the restoration. Further monitoring required.
19	FT43	GYI / GMI 46		Soil management during construction has led to poor reinstatement with mixed soils. No attempt has been made at retaining a vegetative layer for reinstatement.		The compound is approximately 5% restored through natural regeneration, Revegetation techniques should be used for this compound.		Poor level of reinstatement and restoration. Further revegetation techniques required to assist the restoration. Further monitoring required.
19	FT44	GYI / GMI 47		Soil management during construction has led to poor reinstatement with mixed soils. No attempt has been made at retaining a vegetative layer for reinstatement.		The compound is approximately 5% restored through natural regeneration, Revegetation techniques should be used for this compound.		Poor level of reinstatement and restoration. Further revegetation techniques required to assist the restoration. Further monitoring required.
19	FT45	GYI / GMI 48		Soil management during construction has led to poor reinstatement with mixed soils. No attempt has been made at retaining a vegetative layer for reinstatement.		The compound is approximately 5% restored through natural regeneration, Revegetation techniques should be used for this compound.		Poor level of reinstatement and restoration. Further revegetation techniques required to assist the restoration. Further monitoring required.
19	FT46	GYI / GMI 49		Soil management during construction has led to poor reinstatement with mixed soils. No attempt has been made at retaining a vegetative layer for reinstatement.		The compound is approximately 5% restored through natural regeneration, Revegetation techniques should be used for this compound.		Poor level of reinstatement and restoration. Further revegetation techniques required to assist the restoration. Further monitoring required.
19	FT47	GYI / GMI 50	29/04/15	Soil management during construction has led to poor reinstatement with mixed soils. No attempt has been made at retaining a vegetative layer for reinstatement.		The compound is approximately 5% restored through natural regeneration, Revegetation techniques should be used for this compound.		Poor level of reinstatement and restoration. Further revegetation techniques required to assist the restoration. Further monitoring required.
19	FT48	GYI / GMI 51	28/04/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard. Some effort has been made at reinstating turfs		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT49	GYI / GMI 52	01/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard. Some effort has been made at reinstating turfs		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through regeneration from Turfs		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT50	GYI / GMI 53	01/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard – Litter pick for geotextile and silt fencing left in compound		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT51	GYI / GMI 54	30/04/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard – high level of stones present at the surface.		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT52	GYI / GMI 55	06/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard. Some effort has been made at reinstating turfs		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through regeneration from Turfs		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT53	GYI / GMI 56	05/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard. Some effort has been made at reinstating turfs		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through regeneration from Turfs		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT54	GYI / GMI 57	07/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT55	GYI / GMI 58	08/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT56	GYI / GMI 59	12/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard. Some effort has been made at reinstating turfs		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT57	GYI / GMI 60	13/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard. Some effort has been made at reinstating turfs		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration with some open patches.		Further Monitoring required. This compound is likely to be fully restored within 1-2 years.
19	FT58	GYI / GMI 61	14/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT59	GYI / GMI 62	13/05/15	Some peat haggling through movement of soils		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT60	GYI / GMI 63	14/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT61	GYI / GMI 64	18/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT62	GYI / GMI 65	18/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.

Track	Construction Tower Number	Tower Working Number	Reinstated (date)	Reinstatement Comments / Required Action / Mitigation	Flag	Reinstatement & Restoration Comments	Flag	Additional Comments
19	FT63	GYI / GMI 66	20/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard.		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT64	GYI / GMI 67	19/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT65	GYI / GMI 68	21/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT66	GYI / GMI 69	21/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT67	GYI / GMI 70	22/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Poor reinstatement of turfs has caused most of them to die.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT68	GYI / GMI 71	25/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT69	GYI / GMI 72	26/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT70	GYI / GMI 73	29/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT71	GYI / GMI 74	28/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT72	GYI / GMI 75	28/05/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT73	GYI / GMI 76	02/06/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT74	GYI / GMI 77	02/06/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT75	GYI / GMI 78		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard.		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration		Further Monitoring required.
19	FT76	GYI / GMI 79		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
20	FT77	GYI / GMI 80	06/01/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard – Litter pick for construction waste		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration		Further Monitoring required.
20	FT78	GYI / GMI 81	06/01/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration		Further Monitoring required.
20	FT79	GYI / GMI 82	06/01/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration		Further Monitoring required.
20	FT80	GYI / GMI 83	06/01/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard – Litter pick for construction waste		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
20	FT81	GYI / GMI 84	06/01/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration		Further Monitoring required.
20	FT82	GYI / GMI 85	06/01/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration		Further Monitoring required.
20	FT83	GYI / GMI 86	05/12/14	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard – Litter pick for construction waste		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
20	FT84	GYI / GMI 87	05/12/14	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be excellent in 1 – 2 years
20	FT85	GYI / GMI 88	02/12/14	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent through natural regeneration		No further Monitoring required.
20	FT86	GYI / GMI 89	06/01/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent through natural regeneration		No further Monitoring required.
20	FT87	GYI / GMI 90		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent through natural regeneration		No further Monitoring required.
20	FT88	GYI / GMI 91		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be excellent in 1 – 2 years
20	FT88/I	GYI / GMI 92		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent through natural regeneration		No further Monitoring required.
21	FT89	GYI / GMI 93	24/03/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be excellent in 1 – 2 years
21	FT90	GYI / GMI 94	20/03/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be excellent in 1 – 2 years
21	FT91	GYI / GMI 95	20/03/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
21	FT91/IC	GYI / GMI 96	19/03/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent through natural regeneration		No further Monitoring required.
21	FT92	GYI / GMI	19/03/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be

Track	Construction Tower Number	Tower Working Number	Reinstated (date)	Reinstatement Comments / Required Action / Mitigation	Flag	Reinstatement & Restoration Comments	Flag	Additional Comments
		97						excellent in 1 – 2 years
21	FT93	GYI / GMI 98	16/03/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be excellent in 1 – 2 years
21	FT94	GYI / GMI 99	13/03/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be excellent in 1 – 2 years
21	FT95		12/03/15					
21	FT96		12/03/15					
21	FT99		11/03/15					
21	FT100		10/03/15					
21	FT101		12/01/15					
21	FT102		12/01/15					
22	FT103	GYI / GMI 106		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
22	FT106	GYI / GMI 107		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
22	FT07	GYI / GMI 108		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
22	FT108	GYI / GMI 109		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
22	FT109	GYI / GMI 110		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration		Further Monitoring required.
22	FT111	GYI / GMI 111		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
22	FT113	GYI / GMI 112		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
22	FT114	GYI / GMI 113		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
23	FT115	GYI / GMI 114		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Sheep grazing is reducing the plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
23	FT116	GYI / GMI 115	24/04/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Sheep grazing is reducing the plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
23	FT117	GYI / GMI 116	22/04/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Sheep grazing is reducing the plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
23	FT118	GYI / GMI 117	24/04/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Sheep grazing is reducing the plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
24	FT119	GYI / GMI 118	24/04/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Sheep grazing is reducing the plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
25A	FT122	GYI / GMI 119	01/04/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25A	FT123	GYI / GMI 120	30/03/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25A	FT124	GYI / GMI 121	07/04/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25A	FT125	GYI / GMI 122	27/03/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25A	FT126	GYI / GMI 123	30/03/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25A	FT127	GYI / GMI 124	16/07/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25A	FT128	GYI / GMI 125	01/04/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration. Sheep grazing is reducing the plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
25A	FT129	GYI / GMI 126	02/04/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25A	FT130	GYI / GMI 127	07/04/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25A	FT131	GYI / GMI 128	07/04/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25A	FT132	GYI / GMI 129	08/04/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required.

Track	Construction Tower Number	Tower Working Number	Reinstated (date)	Reinstatement Comments / Required Action / Mitigation	Flag	Reinstatement & Restoration Comments	Flag	Additional Comments
25B	FT133	GYI / GMI I30	08/04/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25B	FT134	GYI / GMI I31	08/04/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required.
25B	FT135	GYI / GMI I32	24/06/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25B	FT136	GYI / GMI I33	24/06/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25B	FT137	GYI / GMI I34	23/06/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25B	FT138	GYI / GMI I35	23/06/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Sheep grazing is reducing the plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
25B	FT139	GYI / GMI I36	23/06/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25B	FT140	GYI / GMI I37	22/06/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25B	FT141	GYI / GMI I38	18/06/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25B	FT142	GYI / GMI I39	18/06/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25B	FT143	GYI / GMI I40	19/06/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25B	FT144	GYI / GMI I41	16/06/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25B	FT145	GYI / GMI I42	16/06/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Sheep grazing is reducing the plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
25B	FT146	GYI / GMI I43	16/06/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25B	FT147	GYI / GMI I44	16/06/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
25B	FT148	GYI / GMI I45		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
26A	FT149	GYI / GMI I46	15/07/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
26A	FT150	GYI / GMI I47	16/07/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
26B	FT151	GYI / GMI I48	15/07/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
26B	FT152	GYI / GMI I49	15/07/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard – Litter pick for construction waste		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
26B	FT153	GYI / GMI I50	13/07/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
26B	FT154	GYI / GMI I51	10/07/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
26B	FT156	GYI / GMI I52	09/07/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
26B	FT157	GYI / GMI I53	08/07/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
26B	FT159	GYI / GMI I54	07/07/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
26B	FT160	GYI / GMI I55	07/07/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
26B	FT161	GYI / GMI I56	06/07/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
26B	FT162	GYI / GMI I57	06/07/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
26B	FT163	GYI / GMI I58	06/07/15	Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
HI	FT164	GYI / GMI I59		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
HI	FT165	GYI / GMI I60		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.

Track	Construction Tower Number	Tower Working Number	Reinstated (date)	Reinstatement Comments / Required Action / Mitigation	Flag	Reinstatement & Restoration Comments	Flag	Additional Comments
H2	FT166	GYI / GMI 161		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard – Litter pick for construction waste	Yellow	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
H2	FT167	GYI / GMI 162		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
H3	FT168	GYI / GMI 163		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard – Litter pick for construction waste	Yellow	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
H4	FT169	GYI / GMI 164		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is mediocre.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
H5	FT170	GYI / GMI 165		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
H5	FT171	GYI / GMI 166		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is mediocre.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
H5	FT172	GYI / GMI 167		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
H6	FT173	GYI / GMI 168		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
H6	FT174	GYI / GMI 169		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
H6	FT175	GYI / GMI 170		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
H7	FT176	GYI / GMI 171		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
H7	FT177	GYI / GMI 172		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is mediocre.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
H7	FT178	GYI / GMI 173		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
H8	FT179	GYI / GMI 174		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is mediocre.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
H8	FT180	GYI / GMI 175		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is mediocre.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
H8	FT181	GYI / GMI 176		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
H8	FT182	GYI / GMI 177		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
29	FT183	GYI / GMI 178		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
29	FT184	GYI / GMI 179		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
29	FT185	GYI / GMI 180		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
29	FT186	GYI / GMI 181		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
29	FT187	GYI / GMI 182		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is mediocre.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
30	FT188	GYI / GMI 183		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
30	FT189	GYI / GMI 184		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
30	FT190	GYI / GMI 185		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
30	FT191	GYI / GMI 186		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is sparse.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required.
31	FT192	GYI / GMI 187		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.	Yellow	Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
32	FT192	GYI / GMI		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard	Green	Restoration in the compound is excellent .The compound may have been reseeded.	Green	No further monitoring required.

Track	Construction Tower Number	Tower Working Number	Reinstated (date)	Reinstatement Comments / Required Action / Mitigation	Flag	Reinstatement & Restoration Comments	Flag	Additional Comments
		188						
33	FT194	GYI / GMI 189		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
34	FT195	GYI / GMI 190		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
35	FT196	GYI / GMI 191		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further Monitoring required.
36	FT197	GYI / GMI 192		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
37	FT198	GYI / GMI 193		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
37	FT199	GYI / GMI 194		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
38	FT200	GYI / GMI 195		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
38	FT201	GYI / GMI 196		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
39	FT202	GYI / GMI 197		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
40	FT203	GYI / GMI 198		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard – Litter pick for construction waste		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
40	FT204	GYI / GMI 199		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard – Litter pick for construction waste		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
40	FT205	GYI / GMI 200		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard – Litter pick for construction waste		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further Monitoring required.
40	FT206	GYI / GMI 201		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
40	FT207	GYI / GMI 202		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard – Litter pick for construction waste		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
42	FT208	GYI / GMI 203		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
42	FT209	GYI / GMI 204		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
42	FT210	GYI / GMI 205		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
42	FT211	GYI / GMI 206		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
42	FT212	GYI / GMI 207		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
42	FT213	GYI / GMI 208		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
42	FT214	GYI / GMI 209		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
42	FT215	GYI / GMI 210		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
43	FT216	GYI / GMI 211		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is at the high end of mediocre.		Further Monitoring required.
44	FT217	GYI / GMI 212		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further Monitoring required.
45	FT218	GYI / GMI 213		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
46	FT219	GYI / GMI		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully

Track	Construction Tower Number	Tower Working Number	Reinstated (date)	Reinstatement Comments / Required Action / Mitigation	Flag	Reinstatement & Restoration Comments	Flag	Additional Comments
		214						resorted in 1-2 years.
47	FT220	GYI / GMI 215		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is at the high end of mediocre.		Further Monitoring required.
48	FT221	GYI / GMI 216		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is at the high end of mediocre.		Further Monitoring required.
49	FT222	GYI / GMI 217		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
49	FT223	GYI / GMI 218		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Tower is located in the Substation		No further monitoring required.
50	TD1	GYI / MBI 219		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Tower is located in the Substation		No further monitoring required.
50	TD2	GYI / MBI 220		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
50	TD3	GYI / MBI 221		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
50	TD4	GYI / MBI 222		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
50	TD5	GYI / MBI 223		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
50	TD6	GYI / MBI 224		Restoration works undertaken in 2016 for landowner				To be surveyed 2017
50	TD7	GYI / MBI 225		Restoration works undertaken in 2016 for landowner				To be surveyed 2017
50	TD8	GYI / MBI 226		Restoration works undertaken in 2016 for landowner				To be surveyed 2017
50	TD9	GYI / MBI 227		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent. Sheep grazing will restrict the floral diversity within the compound.		No further monitoring required.
51	TD10	GYI / MBI 228		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
51	TD11	GYI / MBI 229		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
51	TD12	GYI / MBI 230		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
52	TD13	GYI / MBI 231		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
52	TD14	GYI / MBI 232		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
52	TD15	GYI / MBI 233		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
53	TD16	GYI / MBI 234		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
54	TD17	GYI / MBI 235		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
55	TD18	GYI / MBI 236		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
55	TD19	GYI / MBI 237		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
55	TD20	GYI / MBI 238		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
56	TD21	GYI / MBI 239		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
56	TD22	GYI / MBI 240		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
56	TD23	GYI / MBI 241		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.

Track	Construction Tower Number	Tower Working Number	Reinstated (date)	Reinstatement Comments / Required Action / Mitigation	Flag	Reinstatement & Restoration Comments	Flag	Additional Comments
56	TD24	GYI / MBI 242		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
56	TD25	GYI / MBI 243		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
56	TD26	GYI / MBI 244		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
56	TD27	GYI / MBI 245		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
56	TD28	GYI / MBI 246		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
59	TD29	GYI / MBI 247		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
59	TD30	GYI / MBI 248		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
59	TD31	GYI / MBI 249		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
59	TD32	GYI / MBI 250		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
60	TD33	GYI / MBI 251		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
61	TD34	GYI / MBI 252		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
61	TD35	GYI / MBI 253		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
61	TD36	GYI / MBI 254		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
62	TD37	GYI / MBI 255		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
63	TD38	GYI / MBI 256		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
64	TD39	GYI / MBI 257		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
64	TD40	GYI / MBI 258		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent.		No further monitoring required.
64	TD41	GYI / MBI 259		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further Monitoring required. Compound likely to be fully restored in 1-2 years
64	TD42	GYI / MBI 260		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further Monitoring required. Compound likely to be fully restored in 1-2 years
64	TDU43	GYI / MBI 261		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
64	TDU44	GYI / MBI 262		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further monitoring required.
64	TDU45	GYI / MBI 263		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound likely to be fully restored in 1-2 years
64	TDU46	GYI / MBI 264		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound likely to be fully restored in 1-2 years
64	TDU47	GYI / MBI 265		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further monitoring required.
64	TDU47/1A	GYI / MBI 266		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further monitoring required.
64	TDU48	GYI / MBI 267		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound likely to be fully restored in 1-2 years
64	TDU49	GYI / MBI 268		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further monitoring required.
64	TDU50	GYI / MBI 269		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre. Sheep grazing may restrict natural regeneration.		Further monitoring required.
64	TDU51	GYI / MBI 270		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further monitoring required.
64	TDU52	GYI / MBI		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.



Track	Construction Tower Number	Tower Working Number	Reinstated (date)	Reinstatement Comments / Required Action / Mitigation	Flag	Reinstatement & Restoration Comments	Flag	Additional Comments
		271						
64	TDU53	GYI / MBI 272		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further monitoring required.
64	TDU54	GYI / MBI 273		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
64	TDU55	GYI / MBI 274		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
64	TDU55/1A	GYI / MBI 275		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further monitoring required.
64	TDU55/2A	GYI / MBI 276		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further monitoring required.
64	TDU55/3A	GYI / MBI 277		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further monitoring required.
64	TD56	GYI / MBI 278		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
64	TD57	GYI / MBI 279		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further monitoring required.
64	TD59	GYI / MBI 280		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
64	TD60	GYI / MBI 281		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
64	TD61	GYI / MBI 282		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
64	TD62	GYI / MBI 283		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further monitoring required.
64	TD63	GYI / MBI 284		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further monitoring required.
64	TD64	GYI / MBI 285		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound likely to be fully restored in 1-2 years
64	TD65	GYI / MBI 286		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
64	TD66	GYI / MBI 287		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
64	TD67	GYI / MBI 288		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
64	TD68	GYI / MBI 289		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound likely to be fully restored in 1-2 years
64	TD69	GYI / MBI 290		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
64	TD69/1	GYI / MBI 291		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
64	TD70	GYI / MBI 292		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
64	TD71	GYI / MBI 293		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
64	TD72	GYI / MBI 294		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
64	TD73	GYI / MBI 295		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
64	TD74	GYI / MBI 296		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
64	TD75	GYI / MBI 297		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
64	TD76	GYI / MBI 298		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
64	TD77	GYI / MBI 299		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
65	TD78	GYI / MBI 300		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further Monitoring required. Compound likely to be fully restored in 1-2 years
65	TD79	GYI / MBI 301		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
66	TD80	GYI / MBI 302		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound likely to be fully restored in 1-2 years

Track	Construction Tower Number	Tower Working Number	Reinstated (date)	Reinstatement Comments / Required Action / Mitigation	Flag	Reinstatement & Restoration Comments	Flag	Additional Comments
67	TD81	GYI / MBI 303		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
67	TD82	GYI / MBI 304		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre.		Further Monitoring required. Compound likely to be fully restored in 1-2 years
67	TD83	GYI / MBI 305		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound likely to be fully restored in 1-2 years
69	TD84	GYI / MBI 306		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Sheep grazing may restrict plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
69	TD85	GYI / MBI 307		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre. Sheep grazing may restrict plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
69	TD86	GYI / MBI 308		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good. Sheep grazing may restrict plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
69	TD87	GYI / MBI 309		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre. Sheep grazing may restrict plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
69	TD88	GYI / MBI 310		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre. Sheep grazing may restrict plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
69	TD89	GYI / MBI 311		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre. Sheep grazing may restrict plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
69	TD90	GYI / MBI 312		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre. Sheep grazing may restrict plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
69	TD91	GYI / MBI 313		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Sheep grazing may restrict plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
69	TD92	GYI / MBI 314		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Sheep grazing may restrict plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
69	TD93	GYI / MBI 315		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Sheep grazing may restrict plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
69	TD94	GYI / MBI 316		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Sheep grazing may restrict plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
69	TD95	GYI / MBI 317		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre. Sheep grazing may restrict plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
69	TD96	GYI / MBI 318		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre. Sheep grazing may restrict plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
69	TD97	GYI / MBI 319		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse. Sheep grazing may restrict plant growth		Further Monitoring required.
69	TD98	GYI / MBI 320		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
69	TD99	GYI / MBI 321		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
69	TD100	GYI / MBI 322		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
70	TD101	GYI / MBI 323		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
71	TD102	GYI / MBI 324		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
71	TD103	GYI / MBI 325		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
72	TD104	GYI / MBI 326		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
72	TD105	GYI / MBI 327		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
72	TD106	GYI / MBI 328		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
72	TD107	GYI / MBI 329		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
72	TD108	GYI / MBI 330		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
72	TD109	GYI / MBI 331		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
72	TD110	GYI / MBI 332		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017

Track	Construction Tower Number	Tower Working Number	Reinstated (date)	Reinstatement Comments / Required Action / Mitigation	Flag	Reinstatement & Restoration Comments	Flag	Additional Comments
72	TD111	GYI / MBI 333		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
72	TD112	GYI / MBI 334		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
73	TD115	GYI / MBI 335		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent and has been ploughed as part of an arable field.		No further monitoring required.
74	TD116	GYI / MBI 336		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
75	TD117	GYI / MBI 337		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
76	TD119	GYI / MBI 338		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
77	TD120	GYI / MBI 339		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
77	TD121	GYI / MBI 340		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
79	TD122	GYI / MBI 341		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
80	TD124	GYI / MBI 342		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
81	TD125	GYI / MBI 343		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
82	TD126	GYI / MBI 344		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
83	TD127	GYI / MBI 345		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
84	TD129	GYI / MBI 346		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent and has been ploughed as part of an arable field.		No further monitoring required.
85	TD130	GYI / MBI 347		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
86	TD131	GYI / MBI 348		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
87	TD132	GYI / MBI 349		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
87	TD134	GYI / MBI 350		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
88	TD135	GYI / MBI 351		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
89	TD136	GYI / MBI 352		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
90	TD137	GYI / MBI 353		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
90	TD138	GYI / MBI 354		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
90	TD139	GYI / MBI 355		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.

Track	Construction Tower Number	Tower Working Number	Reinstated (date)	Reinstatement Comments / Required Action / Mitigation	Flag	Reinstatement & Restoration Comments	Flag	Additional Comments
91	TD140	GYI / MBI 356		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
92	TD142	GYI / MBI 357		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
93	TD143	GYI / MBI 358		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
93	TD144	GYI / MBI 359		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
94	TD145	GYI / MBI 360		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
95	TD146	GYI / MBI 361		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
95	TD147	GYI / MBI 362		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
95	TD149	GYI / MBI 363		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
95	TD150	GYI / MBI 364		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
95	TD151	GYI / MBI 365		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
95	TD152	GYI / MBI 366		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
95	TD153	GYI / MBI 367		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
95	TD154	GYI / MBI 368		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
95	TD155	GYI / MBI 369		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
95	TD156	GYI / MBI 370		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
96	TD157	GYI / MBI 371		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
96	TD158	GYI / MBI 372		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
96	TD159	GYI / MBI 373		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
96	TD160	GYI / MBI 374		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
96	TD161	GYI / MBI 375		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
96	TD162	GYI / MBI 376		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
97	TD163	GYI / MBI 377		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
97	TD165	GYI / MBI 378		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
97	TD166	GYI / MBI 379		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
97	TD167	GYI / MBI 380		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
97	TD170	GYI / MBI 381		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
97	TD171	GYI / MBI 382		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
97	TD172	GYI / MBI 383		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017
97	TD172B/I	GYI / MBI 384		Reinstated in 2016				To be surveyed 2017

Track	Construction Tower Number	Tower Working Number	Reinstated (date)	Reinstatement Comments / Required Action / Mitigation	Flag	Reinstatement & Restoration Comments	Flag	Additional Comments
98	TD173	GYI / MBI 385		Reinstated by landowner – No Access		Visual assessment identified a high level of restoration		No further monitoring
98	TD174	GYI / MBI 386		Reinstated by landowner – No Access		Visual assessment identified a high level of restoration		No further monitoring
98	TD175	GYI / MBI 387		Reinstated by landowner – No Access		Visual assessment identified a high level of restoration		No further monitoring
98	TD176	GYI / MBI 388		Reinstated by landowner – No Access		Visual assessment identified a high level of restoration		No further monitoring
98	TD177	GYI / MBI 389		Reinstated by landowner – No Access		Visual assessment identified a high level of restoration		No further monitoring
100	TD178	GYI / BYI 390		Reinstated by landowner – No Access		Visual assessment identified a high level of restoration		No further monitoring
101	TD179	GYI / BYI 391		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is good through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
101	TD181	GYI / BYI 392		Further reinstatement being undertaken		Seeding bring undertaken		Further Monitoring required.
101	TD182	GYI / BYI 393		Further reinstatement being undertaken		Seeding bring undertaken		Further Monitoring required.
102	TD183	GYI / BYI 394		Further reinstatement being undertaken		Seeding bring undertaken		Further Monitoring required.
102	TD184	GYI / BYI 395		Further reinstatement being undertaken		Seeding being undertaken		Further Monitoring required.
102	TD185	GYI / BYI 396		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
102	TD186	GYI / BYI 397		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
102	TD187	GYI / BYI 398		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
102	TD189	GYI / BYI 399		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
103	TD189/1A	GYI / BYI 400		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is excellent		No further monitoring required.
103	TD190	GYI / BYI 401		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.
103	TD191	GYI / BYI 402		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is sparse.		Further Monitoring required.
103	TD192	GYI / BYI 403		Reinstatement of the soils is to an acceptable standard		Restoration in the compound is mediocre through natural regeneration.		Further Monitoring required. Compound is likely to be fully resorted in 1-2 years.

## 6 APPENDIX 2 – UPLAND SEED MIX

- A mix of heathers, grass and flower species suited to altitude and acidic low fertile soils
- Will tolerate both wet and drought conditions
- Species can be altered to provide more rapid ground cover for slope stability
- Species suitable to provide grazing material and pollinators.

Suggested sowing Rate: 3g per square meter, or 12kg per acre.

% by weight	Common Name	Latin	Notes
0.6 % Desirable Species (Desirable in the landscape)			
0.5	Heather	<i>Calluna vulgaris</i>	Hardest and most varied of all hardy heathers. Dominant in the landscape.
0.1	Bell heather	<i>Erica cinerea</i>	Found in a variety of habitats including heathland and on acidic soils. Present in the landscapes.
80% Grasses (Important for ground cover and stability)			
5.0	Common Bent	<i>Agrostis capillaris</i>	Hardy grass particularly characteristic of short grazed turf on poor soils on hills and mountains where it is often the dominant grass. Highly resistant to mowing, grazing and trampling and is also tolerant of both cold and dry conditions.
10.0	Sweet Vernal Grass	<i>Anthoxanthum odoratum</i>	Most frequent on damp, neutral to acidic soil. It is best sown in small quantities to add interest as a minor component of a mixture.
30.0	Wavy Hair Grass	<i>Deschampsia flexuosa</i>	Wavy hair-grass is a characteristic grass of acidic, unproductive, nutrient poor, sandy or peaty soils. It occurs widely throughout Britain on acid heaths, moorland, hill-pasture and open woodland.

20.0	Sheep's Fescue	<i>Festuca ovina</i>	A stress tolerant, slow growing grass widely distributed on poor, shallow, usually well-drained soils. It is good at coping in stressed environments, and is a very variable and adaptable plant species and can be found in both acid and calcareous grassland in a wide range of situations.
15.0	Smooth-stalked Meadow Grass	<i>Poa pratensis</i>	Its herbage is plentiful and fairly nutritious
<i>Additional Species</i>			
0.2	Bluebell/Harebell	<i>Campanula rotundifolia</i>	Harebell otherwise known as the bluebell of Scotland. Harebells are native to dry, nutrient-poor grassland and heaths
2.2	Lady's Bedstraw	<i>Galium verum</i>	Found on a range of habitats on relatively infertile neutral soils.
2.5	Birdsfoot Trefoil	<i>Lotus corniculatus</i>	Birdsfoot trefoil may be the commonest legume of unproductive grasslands in the British Isles and is probably the most ecologically wide-ranging, being absent only from damp sites and very acid or very infertile soils.
3.5	Ribwort Plantain	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	Ribwort plantain is one of the most dependable meadow plants for seed mixtures as it can establish in a wide range of conditions. When designing a seed mixture to recreate a naturally balanced flower rich sward based on ecological principals Ribwort plantain is a key component.
0.1	Tormentil	<i>Potentilla erecta</i>	Grows best in full sun, on soils that are fertile and acidic. Habitats include sandy or peaty heaths where it can often be found growing in short grassland.
3.1	Selfheal	<i>Prunella vulgaris</i>	Has a particular affinity for moist, moderately fertile soils. In common with many plants of the deadnettle family Selfheal is particularly attractive to bees.
4.0	Meadow Buttercup	<i>Ranunculus acris</i>	Found on most grazed or cut grasslands throughout Britain, but has a preference for moist soils.

1.0	Sheep's Sorrel	<i>Rumex acetosella</i>	Sheep's sorrel is a plant of dry, well drained and relatively infertile habitats
0.2	Devilsbit Scabious	<i>Succisa pratensis</i>	Devil's-bit scabious is a slow growing, native perennial of damp to reasonably free-draining soils with a preference for those that are neutral to mildly acidic.
0.2	White Clover	<i>Trifolium repens</i>	Suited to cutting white clover is adaptable to a range of conditions. White clover is the most popular forage legume and lasts longer than the more erect red clover.
0.1	Common Dog Violet	<i>Viola riviniana</i>	It can be found on a wide range of soil types.